

Accepted author manuscript version reprinted, by permission, from International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance, [2024],  
<https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsp.2024-0144> © Human Kinetics, Inc.

# 1 **Three-, four- and five-day microcycles: the normality in** 2 **professional football**

3  
4 *Submission type:* original investigation

5  
6 **Antonio Gualtieri**<sup>1,2</sup>, **Jordi Vicens-Bordas**<sup>3,4</sup>, **Ermanno Rampinini**<sup>5,6</sup>, **Duccio Ferrari**  
7 **Bravo**<sup>1</sup>, **Marco Beato**<sup>2</sup>

8  
9 <sup>1</sup> Sport Science and R&D Department, Juventus FC, Turin, Italy

10 <sup>2</sup> School of Health and Sports Science, University of Suffolk, Ipswich, UK

11 <sup>3</sup> Sport Performance Analysis Research Group (SPARG), University of Vic-Central  
12 University of Catalonia, Vic, Barcelona, Spain

13 <sup>4</sup> UVic-UCC Sport and Physical Activity Studies Centre (CEEAF), University of Vic-  
14 Central University of Catalonia, Vic, Barcelona, Spain

15 <sup>5</sup> Human Performance Laboratory, MAPEI Sport Research Centre, Olgiate Olona,  
16 Varese, Italy

17 <sup>6</sup> Sport and Exercise Discipline Group, Human Performance Research Centre, Faculty of  
18 Health, University of Technology Sydney, Moore Park, New South Wales, Australia

19  
20 *Contact details for the corresponding author:* Antonio Gualtieri, Juventus Football Club,  
21 antonio.gualtieri@juventus.com

22  
23 *Preferred running head:* Congested microcycles in elite football

## 24 **Abstract**

25  
26 **Purpose:** This study aimed to quantify training and match day (MD) load during three-, four-  
27 and five-day microcycles in professional adult football, as well as analyzing the effect of the  
28 microcycle length on training load produced the day after the match (MD+1) and the day before  
29 the match (MD-1).

30 **Methods:** The study involved 20 male professional football players whose external and internal  
31 load were monitored for a whole season. Training exposure (EXP), total distance covered (TD),  
32 high-speed running distance (HSR), sprint distance (SD), individual sprint distance above 80%  
33 of the individual maximum velocity (D>80%), number of accelerations (ACC) and  
34 decelerations (DEC) were quantified as well as rating of perceived exertion (RPE) and session  
35 training load (sRPE-TL).

36 **Results:** Microcycles length affected most of the variables of interest: HSR (F = 9.04, p < 0.01),  
37 SD (F = 13.90, p < 0.01), D>80% (F = 20.25, p < 0.01), accelerations (F = 10.12, p < 0.01) and  
38 decelerations (F = 6.01, p < 0.01). There was an interaction effect between training day and  
39 microcycle type for SD (F = 5.46, p < 0.01), D>80% (F = 4.51, p < 0.01), accelerations (F =  
40 2.24, p = 0.06) and decelerations (F = 3.91, p < 0.01).

41 **Conclusions:** Coaches seem to be influenced by shorter microcycles in their training proposal,  
42 preferring sessions with a reduced muscle impact during shorter microcycles. Independently  
43 by the length of the congested fixture microcycle, the daily load seems to decrease when MD  
44 approaches.

45  
46 **Key words:** Team Sports; Soccer; GPS; Monitoring; Congested fixture

## 47 Introduction

48

49 In nowadays football, the best teams from each championship (e.g., Serie A, Premier League)  
50 play frequently during the season to take part in international competitions or national cups.  
51 For instance, they do not play only during the weekend (1 match a week), but also during the  
52 week (e.g., 2-3 times in 7-8 days).<sup>1</sup> In these circumstances, the weekly number of training  
53 sessions is reduced to facilitate physical recovery (e.g., in the days immediately after the game)  
54 and so to promote performance.<sup>2</sup> Training load is affected by this strategy to the point that the  
55 weekly load, especially the distance run at high-speed, is mainly completed during the match  
56 itself.<sup>3</sup> This type of “*congested fixture season*” does not allow practitioners to plan training as  
57 during a standard microcycle (six training sessions a week with one match). Individual players  
58 may experience around 10 consecutive weeks of a congested calendar, including domestic and  
59 international matches.<sup>4</sup> In this context, teams’ weekly schedules change during the season, so  
60 a standard nomenclature independent by the day of the week is adopted. More precisely, the  
61 training days (and their aims such as recovery, development or tapering) are defined on the  
62 basis of the distance from the previous or next match day (MD). In a traditional microcycle, it  
63 is common practice to define the days after the latest game as follow: match day plus 1 (MD+1)  
64 and MD+2, where usually the main aim is to promote physical and mental recovery, while  
65 MD-4, MD-3, MD-2 and MD-1 for the remaining days before the MD.<sup>5</sup> However, in congested  
66 fixture periods (as described above), the number of days between matches is reduced and  
67 therefore, the training week is shorter (e.g., for a four-day microcycle: MD+1, MD-2, MD-1,  
68 MD).

69

70 The periodization of loading across the weekly microcycle is commonly observed in adult  
71 players. Previous research reported that training volume gradually decreased during the week  
72 as match day approached.<sup>6-9</sup> Specifically, in an eight-day microcycle greatest distances and  
73 intensities were performed at MD-5 and MD-3, followed by a significant tapering phase at  
74 MD-2 and MD-1 in an attempt to reduce the residual fatigue accumulation during the previous  
75 days and to optimize MD performance.<sup>9</sup> A similar trend has been reported by Lopategui et al.  
76 2021 in a seven-day microcycle, where a short tapering on MD-2 and MD-1 was planned before  
77 the game to recover from the previous loading days, essentials for maintaining or optimizing  
78 players’ physical performance during the season.<sup>10</sup> Furthermore, Fleming et al. 2023 reported  
79 a similar organization of the training stimulus in six-day microcycles, where MD-4 was the  
80 most demanding training session of the week, MD-3 was a day-off and during MD-2 and MD-  
81 1 coaches decreased players’ load to favor players’ readiness.<sup>11</sup>

82

83 However, this weekly plan cannot be used during congested fixture periods: for example, in a  
84 four-day microcycle, the first session after the match (MD+1) is the only available training day  
85 where players who did not play the previous MD (non-starters, who are players that did not  
86 play or played only fraction of the match) can actually perform physical development (72 h  
87 before the next MD). On MD+2 (which is at less than 48 h from the previous MD and 48 h  
88 from the next MD), starters are still recovering from the workload of the previous MD and they  
89 cannot actually fully train, while non-starters needs to start tapering for the next MD. Finally,  
90 MD-1 (less than 72 h from the previous MD, and 24h from the next MD) is a tapering session  
91 for both starters and non-starters. A three-day microcycle (MD+1, MD-1 and MD) is also  
92 possible, and it represents at least the 30% of the microcycles of a team competing at the same  
93 time in the national championship and cup plus the international competitions.<sup>12</sup> In these  
94 conditions, MD+1 is the only available day to train non-starting players, but only contained  
95 load can be provided since about 48 h from the next MD are available. On the other hand, MD-  
96 1 (which is at less than 48 h from the previous MD and about 24 h from the next MD) could

97 be the only day to prepare starting players and check their readiness before the following match,  
98 so the right balance between recovery from the previous game and getting ready for the next  
99 must be find.

100

101 The majority of the studies published in football described the load distribution during regular  
102 seven-day microcycles,<sup>8,13-17</sup> while some papers reported shorter microcycles with six to five  
103 days,<sup>11,13,17-19</sup> but limited information is currently available about shorter microcycles (i.e., four  
104 days), in particular for players militating in top-level teams (e.g., Italian Serie A).<sup>20,21</sup>  
105 Furthermore, to our knowledge, no studies have reported training load data specifically for  
106 scenarios of three-day microcycles (MD+1, MD-1 and MD). For this reason, this study aimed,  
107 firstly, to quantify training and MD load during three-, four- and five-day microcycles in Italian  
108 professional adult football, secondly, to compare the microcycle length on the training load  
109 during MD+1 and MD-1 and MD load. The authors' hypothesis was that the length of the  
110 microcycle do not affect the physical demand of the game (MD), but it influences the training  
111 load during MD+1 and MD-1.

112 **Methods**

113

114 **Subjects**

115 Twenty male professional Serie A football players were monitored in this study (age  $28.1 \pm$   
116  $4.7$  years; body mass  $80.6 \pm 5.9$  kg; height  $183.4 \pm 5.1$  cm; maximum speed  $33.7 \pm 1.5$  km.h<sup>-1</sup>;  
117 80% of peak speed  $27.1 \pm 0.8$  km.h<sup>-1</sup>) for a whole season. The inclusion criteria comprised  
118 participation in the official competition. Goalkeepers were excluded from this study, therefore,  
119 only outfield players' match data were evaluated. The sample size estimation was calculated  
120 using G\*power (Düsseldorf, Germany) for a one-way ANOVA fixed effect that indicated a  
121 total of 111 individual data points (single days) would be required to detect a *medium* effect ( $f$   
122 = 0.3), three conditions (3 microcycles) with 80% power and an alpha of 5%. The actual sample  
123 size of this study was 1919 individual data points, with a real power of >95%, which reduced  
124 the likelihood of type 2 errors (false negative).<sup>22</sup> The Ethics Committee of the University of  
125 Suffolk (Ipswich, UK) approved this study (project code: RETH19/020). Informed consent to  
126 take part in this research was signed by the club. All procedures were conducted according to  
127 the Declaration of Helsinki for human studies.

128

129 **Experimental design**

130 The external training load data was recorded as part of the regular monitoring routine of the  
131 club and was only analyzed *a posteriori*. All the data reported were collected during one season.  
132 The microcycle length was defined by the number of days available between two subsequent  
133 matches, inclusive of the match day itself. A day-off was included as well in the count of the  
134 days. In *figure 1* we reported the three microcycles analysed and the respective percentage of  
135 the total number of microcycles occurred during the season.

136

137 *Please, insert here figure 1*

138

139 The day following a match (MD+1), all the starting players did not train on the pitch, instead  
140 they performed indoor recovery activities (e.g., cycling, swimming, stretching). For each  
141 MD+1 (any microcycle), the training load data are exclusively related to the non-starting  
142 players. The physical demand of the game reported at MD is the average load produced by all  
143 the players involved in the game independently by their played time, therefore players were  
144 not excluded by the analysis on the basis of their played time. This decision was made in  
145 accordance with the five substitutions rule, which permits the replacement of up to 5 players  
146 during a match (compared to the previous rule allowing only 3 substitutions), aimed at  
147 minimizing the variability of MD load attributable to positional effects.

148

149 **Methodology**

150 During all the training sessions, Apex 10 Hz Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS)  
151 (STATSports, Northern Ireland) units were used to collect data.<sup>23</sup> Apex units validity and  
152 reliability were previously reported both for team sports and peak speed monitoring.<sup>23,24</sup> The  
153 Apex units were turned on at least 15 minutes before the beginning of the data recording to  
154 guarantee synchronisation between the Apex units and GNSS.<sup>23</sup> GNSS data recorded by the  
155 units were downloaded and further analysed with STATSports Software (Apex version  
156 3.0.02011). During matches, external load metrics were evaluated by a video tracking system  
157 (STATS, USA). Reliability of this type of apparatuses and its interchangeability with GNSS  
158 for measures of positional tracking metrics to monitoring of training and competitions were  
159 previously reported.<sup>25</sup>

160

161 *External load metrics*

162 In this study, GNSS recorded metrics were total distance covered (TD), high-speed running  
163 distance (HSR, between 20 and 25 km·h<sup>-1</sup>), sprint distance (SD, >25 km·h<sup>-1</sup>) and individual  
164 sprint distance (D>80% of the individual maximum velocity).<sup>26,27</sup> Individual sprint distance  
165 was calculated as 80% of the maximum peak velocity of each player previously recorded by  
166 the club using the same GNSS technology and video tracking system for training sessions and  
167 matches respectively. The number of high-intensity accelerations (ACC, >3 m·s<sup>-2</sup>), and  
168 decelerations (DEC, <-3 m·s<sup>-2</sup>) were quantified using GNSS technology.<sup>28</sup> The total football  
169 exposure (EXP) of each training session was quantified too and expressed in minutes (mins).

170

#### 171 *Internal load metrics*

172 In this study, players' internal load was quantified in arbitrary units (AU) using the rating of  
173 perceived exertion (RPE, Borg's CR10-scale), which construct validity in soccer was  
174 previously reported.<sup>29</sup> Session training load (sRPE-TL, AU) was assessed multiplying the RPE  
175 value by training or match exposure.

176

#### 177 **Statistical Analyses**

178 Data are presented as estimated marginal means (95% confidence intervals) for each dependent  
179 variable and were analyzed using linear mixed models to account for missing data and repeated  
180 measures. Normality of residuals was found for the linear mixed models (LMM). The primary  
181 analysis was a LMM, which used the Satterthwaite method (degrees of freedom estimation  
182 based on analytical results) to assess if significant differences exist between training days in  
183 the different microcycles (three-days, four-days or five-days microcycle as fixed effects) across  
184 several dependent variables.<sup>30</sup> During the secondary analysis, LMM were performed including  
185 as fixed effects the day of the week (MD+1, MD-1 and MD) and the type of microcycle (three-  
186 days, four-days or five-days), to test for differences and interaction effects. Players were  
187 considered as random effect grouping factors in all the analyses. When significant differences  
188 were found in the LMM, post-hoc tests were performed using Bonferroni corrections for  
189 multiple comparisons. Estimates of 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated and  
190 reported in the figures. Effect sizes were calculated from the *t* and *df* of the contrast and  
191 interpreted using Cohen's *d* principle as follows *trivial* < 0.2, *small* 0.2 - 0.6, *moderate* 0.6 -  
192 1.2, *large* 1.2 - 2.0, *very large* > 2.0.<sup>31</sup> Unless otherwise stated significance was set at *p* < 0.05  
193 for all tests. Statistical analyses were performed in JAMOVİ (The Jamovi project [2023],  
194 version 2.3, retrieved from <https://www.jamovi.org>).

195 **Results**

196

197 The results are summarized in Figures 2-5; and Tables S1-S18 (Supplementary material).

198

199 *Microcycle type*

200 A total number of 18, 12 and 10 of three-, four- and five-day microcycles respectively were  
201 analyzed, corresponding to 34%, 23% and 19%, respectively, of the total number of  
202 microcycles of the competitive season.

203 The daily mean value was analyzed (Tables S1-S6 and Figures 2-3). Three-, four- or five-day  
204 microcycles affected most of the variables of interest: HSR ( $F = 9.04$ ,  $p = 0.00012$ ), sprint ( $F =$   
205  $13.90$ ,  $p < 0.00001$ ), individualized sprint  $>80\%$  ( $F = 20.25$ ,  $p < 0.0001$ ), accelerations ( $F =$   
206  $10.12$ ,  $p < 0.0001$ ) and decelerations ( $F = 6.01$ ,  $p = 0.0025$ ). Exposure was found significant  
207 ( $F = 3.60$ ,  $p = 0.02748$ ), but the difference between microcycles (*post-hoc*) was trivial. Instead,  
208 total distance ( $F = 0.691$ ,  $p = 0.501$ ) and sRPE-TL ( $F = 1.03$ ,  $p = 0.358$ ) were not affected by  
209 microcycle type.

210 Contrasts showed that three- and four-day microcycles had greater daily average HSR demands  
211 than the five-day microcycle ( $p < 0.05$ ).

212 Three-day microcycle showed greater sprint and individualized sprint daily demands ( $p <$   
213  $0.001$ ), but lower accelerations and decelerations ( $p < 0.01$ ), than the four- and five-day  
214 microcycles.

215

216

*Please, insert here figures 2 and 3*

217

218 *Training day and microcycle type*

219 The training days (MD+1, MD-1) and match day presented differences for all the variables of  
220 interest ( $p < 0.0001$ , Tables S7-S14 and Figures 4-5). There was an interaction effect between  
221 training day and microcycle type for sprint ( $F = 5.46$ ,  $p = 0.00023$ ), individualized sprint ( $F =$   
222  $4.51$ ,  $p = 0.00128$ ), accelerations ( $F = 2.24$ ,  $p = 0.06318$ ) and decelerations ( $F = 3.91$ ,  $p =$   
223  $0.00369$ , Tables S15-S18).

224 Contrasts showed, for individualized sprint distance, trivial differences (29 m,  $p = 0.018$ ,  $d =$   
225  $0.18$ ) at MD+1 in favor to the three-day microcycle compared to the five-day microcycle. Four-  
226 day microcycle presented the greater number of accelerations at MD-1, compared to three-day  
227 microcycle ( $-8.5$ ,  $p < 0.00001$ ,  $d = -0.29$ ); and at MD compared to three- ( $-11.6$ ,  $p < 0.00001$ ,  
228  $d = -0.36$ ) and five-day microcycles ( $-9.3$ ,  $p = 0.00009$ ,  $d = 0.25$ ). Four-day microcycle  
229 presented the greater number of decelerations at MD-1, compared to three-day microcycle ( $-$   
230  $7.9$ ,  $p = 0.00039$ ,  $d = -0.23$ ); and at MD compared to three- ( $-16.4$ ,  $p < 0.00001$ ,  $d = -0.43$ ) and  
231 five-day microcycles ( $14.2$ ,  $p < 0.00001$ ,  $d = 0.33$ ).

232

233

*Please, insert here figures 4 and 5*

## 234 Discussion

235

236 This study aimed, firstly, to quantify training and MD load during three-, four- and five-day  
237 microcycles in Italian professional adult football and secondly to compare the microcycle  
238 length on the training load during MD+1 and MD-1 and MD load. We found that the  
239 microcycle length affected the average daily values of most of the variables of interest like  
240 high-speed, sprint and individualized sprint distances, as such the number of accelerations and  
241 decelerations. Moreover, the microcycle type affected individualized sprint distance at MD+1,  
242 and accelerations and decelerations at MD-1 and MD.

243

244 The management of recovery and training in a specific congested fixture microcycles plays a  
245 key role for the long-term players health, physical development and fitness maintenance.<sup>2</sup> From  
246 the point of view of a starting player, the workload performed during the MD becomes critical  
247 since there is not much time for training.<sup>3,27</sup> On the other hand, from a non-starting player  
248 perspective the physical training compensation during the first two sessions of the microcycle  
249 is critical, achievable during a seven-day microcycle,<sup>32</sup> but almost impossible in a four- or  
250 three-day microcycle scenario described above. In a previous study, non-starters typically had  
251 a lower total load than starters during weeks with two matches, with less time spent above 90%  
252 of maximum heart rate and covering a shorter high-speed running distance throughout the  
253 week, which fell short of the workload equivalent to a full match.<sup>7</sup> For these reasons, managing  
254 the load for both starting and non-starting players during a congested fixture period (which for  
255 some clubs can last some months or a whole season) becomes an arduous challenge for  
256 practitioners, especially for the most impacting aspects of the physical dimension of training  
257 such as high-speed and sprint running.<sup>27</sup>

258

### 259 *Microcycle type*

260 We found that microcycle type did not affect significantly the mean volume of the training  
261 intended as total distance, exposure time and sRPE training load, but different performance  
262 indicators of the intensity were affected by it (Figure 2 and 3). The average high-speed running  
263 and sprinting distance was reduced by longer microcycles, in particular by five-day  
264 microcycles which caused a reduction of 14-19% and 16-32% respectively. This can be  
265 explained by the impact of the non-starting players load at MD+1, the main session for non-  
266 starting players to produce HSR and sprinting distances in all the microcycles analyzed, with  
267 very low demands for the other days. The number of accelerations were lower when only two  
268 days were available to prepare the following match (in three-day microcycles). This can be  
269 explained because coaching staff were more conservative during three-day microcycles, with  
270 non-starting players at MD+1 and with the whole team at MD-1. In that scenario the training  
271 drills programmed were more focused on organizing the team tactics for the following match,  
272 rather than physical conditioning, using larger pitches with reduced acceleration demands.<sup>33</sup>  
273 These data exacerbate the problem of the under-training for non-starting players during  
274 congested fixture periods with only two days between games as previously reported.<sup>27</sup> The  
275 different trend between the microcycles in terms of accelerations and decelerations could be  
276 explained by the different type of drills proposed. In fact, it seems that match and game-based  
277 exercises tend to keep an acceleration-deceleration ratio around 1, while more analytical drills  
278 like technical development exercises tend to reduce the decelerative demand.<sup>34</sup> In our case, in  
279 five-day microcycles part of the sessions was dedicated to the technical development of the  
280 players, keeping the accelerative load high with a low decelerative demand.

281

### 282 *Training day and microcycle type*

283 As reported in other studies the daily load seems to decrease when MD approaches, with the



284 lower load at MD-1.<sup>3,7</sup> The length of the microcycle did not show significant differences in the  
285 load at MD-1, apart for accelerations and decelerations that was lower in a three-day  
286 microcycle compared to a four-day microcycle. On the other side, in all the microcycles MD+1  
287 was the session with the highest training load (produced by non-starting players). In terms of  
288 accelerations, the MD+1 training session was more demanding than the match itself, and this  
289 can be explained by the low number of players involved during training (starters focused on  
290 recovery, while non-starters did a compensatory session) and, consequently, because of  
291 characteristics of the drills, which preferentially used reduced pitch dimensions.<sup>33,35</sup> At MD+1  
292 deceleration demand was lower compared to acceleration demand, which is a different stimulus  
293 considering the greater deceleration number compared to acceleration recorded during  
294 games.<sup>28</sup> Instead, the distance completed at HSR and sprinting was largely completed in the  
295 game itself, similarly to what previously reported in English Premier League players.<sup>3</sup> In  
296 particular, D>80% resulted to be really low in all the training days of a five-day microcycle.  
297 This counterintuitive result can be explained considering the whole season during which longer  
298 microcycles could have been used to favor recovery. In fact, the fatigue accumulated during  
299 chains of three- and four-day microcycles could have been mitigated avoiding single high-load  
300 training sessions during five-day microcycles. However, looking at the total volume of HSR  
301 and sprinting accumulated during the microcycles it becomes clear that the daily average was  
302 affected by the number of training days and that a higher absolute HSR and sprinting volume  
303 was produced when more days were available.

304 Four-day microcycles were the most demanding scenario in terms of accelerations and  
305 decelerations both at MD-1 and MD. These results are not in line with previous studies showing  
306 a higher performance at MD when reducing load at MD-1.<sup>36</sup> We did not compare the demand  
307 of MD-2 between a four- and five-day microcycle, which may have told us that a five-day  
308 microcycle was more demanding at MD-2 than a four-day microcycle in terms of accelerations.  
309 Such fatiguing demands may have influenced the reduced number of accelerations and  
310 decelerations during the game at the end of a five-day microcycle compared to a four-day  
311 microcycle.<sup>36</sup> Apart for the number of accelerations and decelerations, the game physical  
312 demand was not affected by the microcycle length, but we want to highlight that we compared  
313 only different types of congested periods. In fact, comparing congested and non-congested  
314 periods, lower accelerative and decelerative load was reported at MD when more matches were  
315 played and less training sessions were available.<sup>20</sup>

316

### 317 *Limitations and future directions*

318 This study is not without limitations, firstly, the sample utilized is limited to just one team  
319 during a single season. Ideally, the sample size enrolment should be based on an a priori  
320 estimation, however, this option was not feasible due to the specificity of the top-level soccer  
321 players monitored in this study. Therefore we used a convenience sampling and repeated the  
322 observations during a whole season gathering a large dataset.<sup>37</sup> Contrariwise, a strength of this  
323 study is its high ecological validity; data coming from a very specific population have a very  
324 high impact on real-world practice, even with a small sample size.<sup>38</sup> A second limitation that  
325 should be acknowledged is related to the utilization of GNSS and video tracking system for the  
326 monitoring of training sessions and matches, respectively,<sup>25</sup> therefore, some variability  
327 between the data could be related to the different monitoring systems used. A third limitation  
328 of this study is the lack of training load quantification for the post-match activities performed  
329 by non-starting players immediately at the end of the match when running based training was  
330 completed. A dedicated analysis of training load of starters and non-starters during different  
331 types of congested fixture periods could let emerge interesting highlights for practitioners.  
332 Further studies could also investigate the impact of positions on training load distribution  
333 during different microcycles.

334 **Conclusions**

335

336 In conclusion, coaches seem to be influenced by shorter microcycles in their training proposal,  
337 preferring sessions with a reduced muscle impact when less days are available. This adaptation  
338 is managed by reducing the number of drills not focusing on the tactical preparation of the  
339 following match such as small-sided games and technical development drills, but not reducing  
340 the total exposure of every single session. Independently by the length of the congested fixture  
341 microcycle, the daily load seems to decrease when MD approaches, with the lower load at MD-  
342 1. A five-day microcycle seems the shortest period allowing for the alternation of training and  
343 recovery days, necessary condition for players health and performance improvement, in turn  
344 useful for a safe and high-quality sports show.

345

346 **Practical applications**

347

348 Practitioners can use our findings to re-think on their training plan during three-, four- and five-  
349 day microcycles and to look for any feasible improvement, in particular managing the technical  
350 and tactical drills selection. A lower number of accelerations and decelerations can be useful  
351 when few days are available to let starting players recover from the previous match and to be  
352 as ready as possible for the following one. Similarly, a “longer” five-day microcycle during a  
353 congested fixture period can be seen as a recovery opportunity for starting players rather than  
354 a week to train. On the other side, for non-starting players MD+1 can be a window of  
355 opportunity to reach high velocities since they may not have this stimulus the other training  
356 days of the week, especially if not exposed to this immediately after the game ends as some  
357 form of compensatory training. Finally, football governing bodies should consider increasing  
358 the minimum number of days allowed between two official games to let players recover further  
359 and, in turn, provide higher-quality football events.

360 **References**

361

- 362 1. Julian R, Page RM, Harper LD. The Effect of Fixture Congestion on Performance  
363 During Professional Male Soccer Match-Play: A Systematic Critical Review with  
364 Meta-Analysis. *Sport Med.* 2021;51(2):255-273. doi:10.1007/S40279-020-01359-  
365 9/TABLES/4
- 366 2. Querido SM, Radaelli R, Brito J, Vaz JR, Freitas SR. Analysis of Recovery Methods'  
367 Efficacy Applied up to 72 Hours Postmatch in Professional Football: A Systematic  
368 Review With Graded Recommendations. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.*  
369 2022;17(9):1326-1342. doi:10.1123/IJSPP.2022-0038
- 370 3. Anderson L, Orme P, Di Michele R, et al. Quantification of training load during one-,  
371 two- and three-game week schedules in professional soccer players from the English  
372 Premier League: implications for carbohydrate periodisation. *J Sports Sci.*  
373 2016;34(13). doi:10.1080/02640414.2015.1106574
- 374 4. Silva JR, Buchheit M, Hader K, Sarmento H, Afonso J. Building Bridges Instead of  
375 Putting Up Walls: Connecting the “Teams” to Improve Soccer Players’ Support. *Sport*  
376 *Med.* 2023;53(12):2309-2320. doi:10.1007/S40279-023-01887-0/FIGURES/1
- 377 5. Malone JJ, Di Michele R, Morgans R, Burgess D, Morton JP, Drust B. Seasonal  
378 training-load quantification in elite English Premier League soccer players. *Int J*  
379 *Sports Physiol Perform.* 2015;10(4):489-497. doi:10.1123/ijssp.2014-0352
- 380 6. Szigeti G, Schuth G, Revisnyei P, et al. Quantification of Training Load Relative to  
381 Match Load of Youth National Team Soccer Players.  
382 <https://doi.org/10.1177/19417381211004902>. 2021;14(1):84-91.  
383 doi:10.1177/19417381211004902
- 384 7. Stevens TGA, de Ruiter CJ, Twisk JWR, Savelsbergh GJP, Beek PJ. Quantification of  
385 in-season training load relative to match load in professional Dutch Eredivisie football  
386 players. *Sci Med Footb.* 2017;1(2):117-125. doi:10.1080/24733938.2017.1282163
- 387 8. Martín-García A, Gómez Díaz A, Bradley PS, Morera F, Casamichana D.  
388 Quantification of a professional football team’s external load using a microcycle  
389 structure. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2018;32(12):3511-3518.  
390 doi:10.1519/jsc.0000000000002816
- 391 9. Clemente FM, Owen A, Serra-Olivares J, Nikolaidis PT, Van Der Linden CMI,  
392 Mendes B. Characterization of the Weekly External Load Profile of Professional  
393 Soccer Teams from Portugal and the Netherlands. *J Hum Kinet.* 2019;66(1):155-164.  
394 doi:10.2478/hukin-2018-0054
- 395 10. Lopategui IG, Paulis JC, Escudero IE. Physical Demands and Internal Response in  
396 Football Sessions According to Tactical Periodization. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform.*  
397 2021;16(6):858-864. doi:10.1123/IJSPP.2019-0829
- 398 11. Fleming A, Walker M, Armitage M, Connor M, Beato M. A Comparison of Training  
399 and Match Play External Load During a Congested In-Season Period in English  
400 League 2 Football. *J strength Cond Res.* 2023;37(9):E527-E534.  
401 doi:10.1519/JSC.0000000000004458
- 402 12. FIFPRO. *Player and High-Performance Coach Surveys.*; 2022.
- 403 13. Clemente FM, Rabbani A, Conte D, et al. Training/match external load ratios in  
404 professional soccer players: A full-season study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.*  
405 2019;16(17). doi:10.3390/ijerph16173057
- 406 14. de Dios-Álvarez V, Alkain P, Castellano J, Rey E. Accumulative Weekly External and  
407 Internal Load Relative to Match Load in Elite Male Youth Soccer Players. *Pediatr*  
408 *Exerc Sci.* 2021;34(3):119-124. doi:10.1123/PES.2021-0048
- 409 15. Guerrero-Calderón B, Fradua L, Morcillo JA, Castillo-Rodríguez A. Analysis of the

- 410 Competitive Weekly Microcycle in Elite Soccer: Comparison of Workload Behavior  
411 in Absolute and Relative Terms. *J Strength Cond Res.* 2023;37(2):343-350.  
412 doi:10.1519/JSC.0000000000004219
- 413 16. Oliveira R, Brito JP, Martins A, et al. In-season internal and external training load  
414 quantification of an elite European soccer team. *PLoS One.* 2019;14(4).  
415 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0209393
- 416 17. Vardakis L, Michailidis Y, Topalidis P, et al. Application of a Structured Training Plan  
417 on Different-Length Microcycles in Soccer—Internal and External Load Analysis  
418 between Training Weeks and Games. *Appl Sci* 2023, Vol 13, Page 6935.  
419 2023;13(12):6935. doi:10.3390/APP13126935
- 420 18. Oliva-Lozano JM, Gómez-Carmona CD, Fortes V, Pino-Ortega J. Effect of training  
421 day, match, and length of the microcycle on workload periodization in professional  
422 soccer players: a full-season study. *Biol Sport.* 2022;39(2):397.  
423 doi:10.5114/BIOLSPORT.2022.106148
- 424 19. Akenhead R, Harley JA, Twedde SP. Examining the external training load of an  
425 english premier league football team with special reference to acceleration. *J Strength*  
426 *Cond Res.* 2016;30(9):2424-2432. doi:10.1519/JSC.0000000000001343
- 427 20. Djaoui L, Owen A, Newton M, Nikolaidis PT, Dellal A, Chamari K. Effects of  
428 congested match periods on acceleration and deceleration profiles in professional  
429 soccer. *Biol Sport.* 2022;39(2):307-317. doi:10.5114/BIOLSPORT.2022.103725
- 430 21. Oliva Lozano JM, Muyor JM, Pérez-Guerra A, et al. Effect of the Length of the  
431 Microcycle on the Daily External Load, Fatigue, Sleep Quality, Stress, and Muscle  
432 Soreness of Professional Soccer Players: A Full-Season Study. *Sports Health.*  
433 2023;15(5):695. doi:10.1177/19417381221131531
- 434 22. Beato M. Recommendations for the design of randomized controlled trials in strength  
435 and conditioning. Common design and data interpretation. *Front Sport Act Living.*  
436 2022;4. doi:10.3389/fspor.2022.981836
- 437 23. Beato M, de Keijzer KL. The inter-unit and inter-model reliability of GNSS  
438 STATSports Apex and Viper units in measuring peak speed over 5, 10, 15, 20 and 30  
439 meters. *Biol Sport.* 2019;36(4):317-321. doi:10.5114/biol sport.2019.88754
- 440 24. Beato M, Wren C, de Keijzer KL. The Interunit Reliability of Global Navigation  
441 Satellite Systems Apex (STATSports) Metrics During a Standardized Intermittent  
442 Running Activity. *J strength Cond Res.* Published online October 6, 2023.  
443 doi:10.1519/JSC.0000000000004613
- 444 25. Taberner M, O’Keefe J, Flower D, et al. Interchangeability of position tracking  
445 technologies; can we merge the data? *Sci Med Footb.* 2019;3938:1-6.  
446 doi:10.1080/24733938.2019.1634279
- 447 26. Beato M, Drust B, Iacono A Dello. Implementing High-speed Running and Sprinting  
448 Training in Professional Soccer. *Int J Sports Med.* 2021;42(4):295-299. doi:10.1055/a-  
449 1302-7968
- 450 27. Gualtieri A, Rampinini E, Sassi R, Beato M. Workload Monitoring in Top-level  
451 Soccer Players during Congested Fixture Periods. *Int J Sports Med.* 2020;41(10):677-  
452 681. doi:10.1055/a-1171-1865
- 453 28. Silva H, Nakamura FY, Beato M, Marcelino R. Acceleration and deceleration  
454 demands during training sessions in football: a systematic review. *Sci Med Footb.*  
455 Published online June 2022:1-16. doi:10.1080/24733938.2022.2090600
- 456 29. Impellizzeri FM, Rampinini E, Coutts AJ, Sassi A, Marcora SM. Use of RPE-based  
457 training load in soccer. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2004;36(6):1042-1047.  
458 doi:10.1249/01.MSS.0000128199.23901.2F
- 459 30. Maullin-Sapey T, Nichols TE. Fisher scoring for crossed factor linear mixed models.

- 460 *Stat Comput.* 2021;31(5):1-25. doi:10.1007/s11222-021-10026-6
- 461 31. Hopkins WG, Marshall SW, Batterham AM, Hanin J. Progressive statistics for studies  
462 in sports medicine and exercise science. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2009;41(1):3-13.  
463 doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e31818cb278
- 464 32. Oliveira R, Canário-Lemos R, Morgans R, Rafael-Moreira T, Vilaça-Alves J, Brito JP.  
465 Are non-starters accumulating enough load compared with starters? Examining load,  
466 wellness, and training/match ratios of a European professional soccer team. *BMC*  
467 *Sports Sci Med Rehabil.* 2023;15(1):1-11. doi:10.1186/S13102-023-00743-  
468 Y/FIGURES/1
- 469 33. Beato M, Vicens-Bordas J, Peña J, Costin AJ. Training load comparison between  
470 small, medium, and large-sided games in professional football. *Front Sport Act Living.*  
471 2023;5:1165242. doi:10.3389/FSPOR.2023.1165242/BIBTEX
- 472 34. Barrett S, Varley MC, Hills SP, et al. Understanding the Influence of the Head Coach  
473 on Soccer Training Drills—An 8 Season Analysis. *Appl Sci.* 2020;10(22):8149.  
474 doi:10.3390/app10228149
- 475 35. Beato M, de Keijzer KL, Costin AJ. External and internal training load comparison  
476 between sided-game drills in professional soccer. *Front Sport Act Living.*  
477 2023;5:1150461. doi:10.3389/FSPOR.2023.1150461/BIBTEX
- 478 36. Douchet T, Paizis C, Babault N. Physical Impact of a Typical Training Session with  
479 Different Volumes on the Day Preceding a Match in Academy Soccer Players. *Int J*  
480 *Environ Res Public Health.* 2022;19(21). doi:10.3390/IJERPH192113828
- 481 37. Hecksteden A, Kellner R, Donath L. Dealing with small samples in football research.  
482 *Sci Med Footb.* 2022;6(3):389-397. doi:10.1080/24733938.2021.1978106
- 483 38. Harriss DJ, MacSween A, Atkinson G. Ethical standards in sport and exercise science  
484 research: 2020 update. *Int J Sports Med.* 2019;40(13):813-817. doi:10.1055/a-1015-  
485 3123
- 486

487 **Figures and Tables**

488

489 Figure 1. Competitive microcycles analysed and their prevalence during the season. MD,  
490 Match Day. For each training day (circles) the distance in terms of days from both the  
491 preceding and succeeding match days has been reported using respectively positive (+) and  
492 negative (-) count.

493

494 Figure 2. Microcycle type and total distance (a), high-speed running distance (b), sprint  
495 distance (c) and individualised sprint distance, i.e. >80% of the individual maximum speed  
496 (d). Statistically significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) across microcycles length are reported as  
497 follows: § significantly higher than three-day microcycles; \* significantly higher than four-  
498 day microcycles; # significantly higher than five-day microcycles.

499

500 Figure 3. Microcycle type and accelerations (a), decelerations (b), sRPE, session Rating of  
501 Perceived Exertion (c) and exposure (d). Statistically significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) across  
502 microcycles length are reported as follows: § significantly higher than three-day microcycles;  
503 \* significantly higher than four-day microcycles; # significantly higher than five-day  
504 microcycles.

505

506 Figure 4. Microcycle type and training day type: total distance (a), high-speed running  
507 distance (b), sprint distance (c) and individualised sprint distance, i.e. >80% of the individual  
508 maximum speed (d). The load at MD+1 has been produced by non-starting players.  
509 Statistically significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) across microcycles length are reported as  
510 follows: § significantly higher than three-day microcycles; \* significantly higher than four-  
511 day microcycles; # significantly higher than five-day microcycles. Three-day microcycles  
512 data are represented in blue, four-day in grey and five-day in yellow.

513

514 Figure 5. Microcycle type and training day type: accelerations (a), decelerations (b), sRPE,  
515 session Rating of Perceived Exertion (c) and exposure (d). The load at MD+1 has been  
516 produced by non-starting players. Statistically significant differences ( $p < 0.05$ ) across  
517 microcycles length are reported as follows: § significantly higher than three-day microcycles;  
518 \* significantly higher than four-day microcycles; # significantly higher than five-day  
519 microcycles. Three-day microcycles data are represented in blue, four-day in grey and five-  
520 day in yellow.