

Reliability of internal and external load parameters in recreational football for health

Abstract

There is limited research focussed around the analysis of internal and external load parameters during football health programs. The aim of this study was to assess the reliability of internal and external load parameters in this activity. 30 subjects were enrolled (mean \pm SDs; age = 43 ± 3 years, weight = 84 ± 14 kg, height = 176 ± 7 cm, BMI = 27.1 ± 3 , $VO_2\text{max} = 40.7 \pm 3.4$ ml/kg min⁻¹). The football matches (five a-side) took place on an artificial grass outdoor field (pitch size of 36 x 18.5 meters). Participants completed the match (60 minutes) and replicated the same match a week later. The analysis took into account several parameters: Heart rate (HR), total distance (TD), high speed running (HSR), number of accelerations (>2 m/s²), and metabolic power (MP). We found good scores of reliability in several parameters: TD (ICC = 0.66), accelerations (ICC = 0.62), mean HR (ICC = 0.82), HSR (ICC = 0.77), and MP (ICC = 0.66). The results reported in this study revealed good scores of absolute reliability (ICC), and small/trivial effect size.

Keywords: GPS technology; team sports; soccer; futsal

This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis in Research in Sports Medicine on 24 Jan 2017 available online: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/15438627.2018.1431532>

Introduction

Several studies have reported the validity of recreational team sports (e.g. football) as a means to improve the health of general population (Andersen, Schmidt, Pedersen, Krstrup, & Bangsbo, 2016; Bangsbo, Junge, Dvorak, & Krstrup, 2014; Krstrup et al., 2009; Pedersen et al., 2017). Football is played worldwide at the professional level, making it the world's most popular sport (Reilly & Williams, 2003), therefore its popularity could be used to improve the sports adherence to health programmes. Football is an effective physical activity able to induce physiological benefits such as, significantly improving blood pressure, lowering heart rate at rest, blood parameters (e.g. systolic and diastolic blood pressure), as well as improving maximal aerobic power (VO_{2max}) (Krstrup et al., 2010; Krstrup et al., 2013).

Football is a team sport characterised by an intermittent model where aerobic and anaerobic components are taxed (Impellizzeri et al., 2008). The measurement of internal and external load parameters in conjunction could guarantee a more accurate knowledge of football activity and its physical demands, which could offer several advantages about its use as a health activity (Stevens, De Ruiter, Beek, & Savelsbergh, 2016). Information about the reliability of football as a health activity is therefore paramount, especially considering football is an acyclic and unpredictable activity and every match has different load demands (Los Arcos, Martínez-Santos, Yanci, Martín, & Castagna, 2014; Thomas. Reilly, 2005). Despite the popularity and wide appeal of this sport, no one has systematically investigated the reliability of internal and external load parameters in middle-aged males. The only evidence that exists about the reliability of internal load parameters, in such activity, shows a reliability of mean HR (typical error of measurement) of 2.4% (CI 90% 1.6; 3.1) (Beato, et al., 2016).

Global Positioning Systems (GPS) is the technology widely utilised to quantify the external load parameters in team sports (Vickery et al., 2014). GPS systems are used to collect and analyse time-motion data such as, total distance covered (TD), number of changes of direction, acceleration and deceleration activities, as well as time spent at high speed running (HSR) (Cummins, Orr, & Connor, 2013; Varley, Fairweather, & Aughey, 2012; Vickery et al., 2014). GPS accuracy, validity and reliability have been widely investigated (Coutts & Duffield, 2010; Johnston, Watsford, Kelly, Matthew, & Spurrs, 2014; Scott et al., 2016) with generally positive results, although they have revealed some limitations in accuracy. Nevertheless, there is enough evidence to justify the use of such technology (10 Hz GPS) in order to evaluate external load parameters during recreational football activity (Beato, Bartolini, et al., 2016; Coutts & Duffield, 2010; Scott et al., 2016).

1
2
3 Presently, there is **little** research focussed on the analysis of internal and external load
4 parameters during football health programs, **and these studies are limited by a lack of information**
5 regarding the reliability of the parameters analysed. The main purpose of this study was to assess
6 the reproducibility of internal and external load parameters in recreational football (day-to-day
7 reliability) using GPS.
8
9

10 11 12 13 **Methods**

14
15
16 *Subjects:* 30 **male** subjects without specific pathologies were enrolled in this study during 2014
17 (mean \pm SDs; age = 43 ± 3 years, body mass = 84 ± 14 kg, height = 176 ± 7 cm, body mass index
18 (BMI) = 27.1 ± 3.1 , fat mass $19.5 \pm 4.1\%$; HRmax = 177.2 ± 7.3 , VO₂max = 40.7 ± 3.4 ml·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹). All procedures were approved by the Ethics Committee of the Department of Neurological and
19 Movement Sciences, University of Verona (Italy) and conducted according to the declaration of
20 Helsinki for human studies of the World Medical Association.
21
22

23
24
25
26
27
28 *Experimental protocol and data analysis:* A maximal running incremental test was used to
29 determine VO₂max and HRmax. An automated metabolic cart was used to measure respiratory
30 parameters breath-by-breath (Quark b2, Cosmed, Italy). This study utilised the protocol recently
31 published by the same research group (Beato, et al., 2016).
32
33

34
35 The football matches designed as small sided games (SSGs) five a-side took place on an
36 artificial grass outdoor field (pitch size of 36 x 18.5 meters). Participants completed recreational
37 football matches (60 minutes) and replicated the same match a week later (every participant played
38 2 times). Each player on each team took turns playing as the goalkeeper (changing goalkeepers
39 every 5 minutes). Training load parameters were recorded by means of 10 Hz GPS system
40 (STATSports, Viper system, Northern Ireland, UK). Validity of this GPS system has been verified
41 in previously conducted research (Beato, et al., 2016b). GPS data was analysed by the STATSport
42 Viper Software Version 1.2. The analysis **considered** several internal and external load parameters:
43 %HRmax, TD measured in metres, HSR over 14.4 km h⁻¹, number of accelerations and
44 decelerations performed (>2 m s⁻²), relative velocity calculated as the ratio between TD and the total
45 time (Christopher, Beato, & Hulton, 2016; Gaudino et al., 2013). In addition, GPS recorded data
46 about metabolic power (MP) measured in w·kg⁻¹ and high intensity metabolic power distance over
47 20 w kg⁻¹ (HMD) (Osgnach, Poser, Bernardini, Rinaldo, & Di Prampero, 2010). The indirect
48 estimation of the MP utilised the rationale that accelerated running on a flat terrain is energetically
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 analogous to uphill running at constant speed (Minetti et al., 2002). Ecological validity of MP in
4 football has been previously reported by, Manzi, Impellizzeri, & Castagna (2014).
5
6

7 8 *Statistical analysis*

9
10 Data are presented as means \pm 1 SD. A Shapiro-Wilk test was performed for the evaluation
11 of the normality (assumption) for statistical distribution. Log transformation was done for non-
12 normal data. Pearson's Correlation Coefficient reported in this study as well as the variance
13 explained (R^2) was used to determine the relationship between the match data (for both matches)
14 (Hopkins, 2000). Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$. Absolute reliability of HR data
15 (between Match 1 and Match 2) was assessed using the typical error of measurement (TEM) and
16 interclass correlation (ICC). ICC interpretation is expressed as: poor < 0.4 , fair > 0.4 , good > 0.6 ,
17 excellent > 0.75 (Cicchetti, 1994). Differences between Match 1 and Match 2 were reported as a
18 mean of change with confidence intervals (CI 90%) (Hopkins, 2000). Paired t-test was performed
19 between Match 1 and Match 2 for each variable to identify systematic change. The Cohen's d (ES)
20 was calculated to determine the magnitude of effect (comparison between Match 1 and Match 2) by
21 standardizing the coefficients according to the appropriate between-subjects standard deviation.
22 Furthermore, the Cohen's d (ES) was assessed using the following criteria: trivial < 0.2 , small $>$
23 0.2 , medium > 0.5 , large > 0.8 (Cohen, Rozeboom, Dawes, & Wainer, 1990). Statistical analysis
24 was performed using SPSS (SPSS Statistics 20.0) for Mac OS X Yosemite.
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 **Results**

37
38
39 Data recorded during Match 1 and Match 2 were (means \pm 1 SD): mean HR = 146.1 ± 9.7
40 bpm and 144.6 ± 9.3 bpm respectively, $p = 0.61$, ES = 0.15 (trivial), %HRmax $82.4\% \pm 4.5$ and
41 $81.6\% \pm 5.1$ respectively, $p = 0.55$, ES = 0.17 (trivial), TD 3483 ± 215 m and 3375 ± 330 m
42 respectively, $p = 0.29$, ES = 0.39 (small), accelerations number 38 ± 5 and 37 ± 5 respectively, $p =$
43 0.33 , ES = 0.2 (small), decelerations number 36 ± 5 and 35 ± 5 respectively, $p = 0.37$, ES = 0.2
44 (small), MP 6.53 ± 0.4 w kg^{-1} and 6.48 ± 0.41 w kg^{-1} respectively, $p = 0.75$, ES = 0.13 (trivial),
45 relative velocity 63.8 ± 7.2 m min^{-1} and 61.8 ± 7.8 m min^{-1} respectively, $p = 0.22$, ES = 0.27 (small),
46 HSR 71.2 ± 9.2 m and 68.1 ± 10.5 m respectively, $p = 0.19$, ES = 0.32 (small), HMD 246.5 ± 50.7
47 m and 241.6 ± 48.1 m respectively, $p = 0.66$, ES = 0.10 (trivial). Reliability of the internal and
48 external load parameters are presented in table 1.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57

58 **Discussion**

59
60

1
2
3
4
5 The results reported in this study revealed good/excellent scores of absolute reliability (ICC
6 and TEM), as well as a small mean of change, and small/trivial ES (between Match 1 and Match 2)
7 in every parameter. This new evidence strongly supports the utilisation of football as a health
8 activity and underlines the stability of internal and external load parameters between matches.
9

10
11 This study reports a mean HR equivalent to 82% HRmax during matches, a value higher
12 than that recommended by ACSM (Thompson & ACSM, 2009). This result underlines that football
13 can be utilised as a means of aerobic training, capable of improving physiological parameters
14 (Garber, Blissmer, Deschenes, Franklin, & Lamonte, 2011). Further, the mean HR reported in this
15 study is like previous research examining the internal/external workloads of recreational football
16 (Bangsbo et al., 2014). After the replication of matches, HR presented an excellent reliability score:
17 mean HR (ICC = 0.82) and % HRmax (ICC = 0.78). Our results support previous results reported in
18 studies on recreational football (reliability HR, CV = 2.4%) (Beato et al., 2016) and results obtained
19 when analysing professional football players during 6 a-sided games (reliability HR, ICC = 0.85,
20 and %HR max, ICC = 0.61) (Stevens et al., 2016).
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28 GPS is a technology commonly used in professional football in order to evaluate external
29 load parameters (Coutts & Duffield, 2010). In this study we reported good/excellent scores of
30 reliability in several parameters: TD (ICC = 0.66), accelerations (ICC = 0.62), decelerations (ICC =
31 0.65), MP (ICC = 0.66), relative velocity (ICC = 0.81), HSR (ICC = 0.77), and HMD (ICC = 0.81)
32 (Cicchetti, 1994). Our results reveal a high level of reliability for all the external load parameters
33 (table 1). This data cannot be compared to other data previously published on this topic (football for
34 health), but they can be compared with reliability data of small sided games (SSG) in football (4 x 7
35 min 6 vs. 6, pitch size of 40 x 34 m) (Stevens et al., 2016). Stevens et al, (2016) also revealed high
36 scores of reliability for several external load parameters: TD (ICC = 0.84), accelerations (ICC =
37 0.74), MP (ICC = 0.78), HSR (0.74), and high metabolic power > 20 w/kg (ICC = 0.75). The results
38 reported in this study closely mirror the results reported by Stevens et al, (2016), showing almost
39 the same scores. This study supports previous research in professional football players
40 demonstrating repeated SSGs yield reliable external workload parameters, providing further support
41 for the use of SSGs as an appropriate training stimulus for health interventions
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 This study also considered traditional external load parameters (e.g. TD, relative velocity),
52 as well as, metabolic and power parameters (e.g. MP, HMD, accelerations). Power activities such as
53 changes of direction, short shuttle runs and accelerations strongly affect the activity energy cost
54 (Zamparo, Bolomini, Nardello, & Beato, 2015). For instance, the energy cost of short shuttle runs
55 (with a change of direction of 180°), is from 3 to 7 times larger than that of linear running (Zamparo
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 et al., 2015). Furthermore, the estimated MP, such as accelerations counts, could better distinguish
4 the existing differences in locomotor performance than HR in isolation (Osgnach et al., 2010).
5 Therefore, the results obtained in this study may also be utilised to expand upon the current existing
6 knowledge on energy expenditure in recreational football that is currently missing (Beato, et al.,
7 2016b).

8
9
10
11 This paper reports two main limitations. The reliability of internal and external load
12 parameters was analysed during two football matches (day-to-day reliability). The reliability of
13 workload parameters between two matches would likely be affected by several factors, such as
14 fitness and skill of opposition players, and a larger sample of matches is required to better
15 understand stability of workload parameters during recreational football. However, this study
16 presented a large sample of 30 subjects that is representative of the typical population that partake
17 in recreational football, and certain controls can be made to improve the reliability of workload
18 parameters such as matching opposing players for skill and fitness. The second limitation is
19 associated with the use of GPS (10 Hz). GPS are devices largely used in football and team sports
20 (Cummins et al., 2013). Several researchers have reported validity and reliability of these devices,
21 however such technology is not without limitations (Beato, Bartolini, et al., 2016; Cummins et al.,
22 2013). Previous studies have reported some criticisms about the capacity of GPS such as, its
23 inability to accurately record high speed and high metabolic power actions (Buchheit & Simpson,
24 2016). Researchers and sport scientists should be conscious of the potential limitations of this
25 technology regarding accuracy.

26
27
28 In conclusion, this study has proven the reliability of internal and external load parameters
29 in such recreational activity. The current research providing further support for the use of SSGs as
30 an appropriate training stimulus for health interventions This new evidence offers several practical
31 applications in the design of recreational football protocols for health.

32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 **Acknowledgements**

45
46
47
48 We thank all the subjects enrolled during the study for their support.

49 50 51 **References**

52
53
54 Andersen, T. R., Schmidt, J. F., Pedersen, M. T., Krstrup, P., & Bangsbo, J. (2016). The effects of
55 52 weeks of soccer or resistance training on body composition and muscle function in +65-
56 year-old healthy males – A randomized controlled trial. *PLOS ONE*, 11(2), e0148236.
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0148236>

4 Bangsbo, J., Junge, A., Dvorak, J., & Krstrup, P. (2014). Executive summary : Football for health
5 – prevention and treatment of non-communicable diseases across the lifespan through football.
6 *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*, 24(Suppl. 1), 147–150.

7
8
9 <http://doi.org/10.1111/sms.12271>

10 Beato, M., Bartolini, D., Ghia, G., & Zamparo, P. (2016). Accuracy of a 10 Hz GPS unit in
11 measuring shuttle velocity performed at different speeds and distances (5 – 20 M). *Journal of*
12 *Human Kinetics*, 54(1), 15–22. <http://doi.org/10.1515/hukin-2016-0031>

13 Beato, M., Impellizzeri, F. M., Coratella, G., & Schena, F. (2016). Quantification of energy
14 expenditure of recreational football. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 34(24), 2185–2188.

15
16
17
18
19 <http://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2016.1167280>

20 Buchheit, M., & Simpson, B. M. (2016). Player Tracking technology: half-full or half-empty glass?
21 *International Journal of Sports Physiology & Performance*, Epub ahead of print.

22 Christopher, J., Beato, M., & Hulton, A. T. (2016). Manipulation of exercise to rest ratio within set
23 duration on physical and technical outcomes during small-sided games in elite youth soccer
24 players. *Human Movement Science*, 48, 1–6. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2016.03.013>

25 Cicchetti, D. V. (1994). Guidelines, criteria, and rules of thumb for evaluating normed and
26 standardized assessment instruments in psychology. *Psychological Assessment*, 6(4), 284–290.

27
28
29
30
31
32 <http://doi.org/10.1037/1040-3590.6.4.284>

33 Cohen, J., Rozeboom, W., Dawes, R., & Wainer, H. (1990). Things I Have Learned (So Far).
34 *American Psychologist*, 45(12), 1304–1312.

35 Coutts, A. J., & Duffield, R. (2010). Validity and reliability of GPS devices for measuring
36 movement demands of team sports. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 13, 133–135.

37
38
39
40
41
42 <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2008.09.015>

43 Cummins, C., Orr, R., & Connor, H. O. (2013). Global positioning systems (GPS) and
44 microtechnology sensors in team Sports: a systematic review. *Sports Medicine*, 43, 1025–
45 1042. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-013-0069-2>

46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Garber, C. E., Blissmer, B., Deschenes, M. R., Franklin, B. A., & Lamonte, M. J. (2011). Quantity
and quality of exercise for developing and maintaining neuromotor fitness in apparently
Healthy Adults : Guidance for Prescribing Exercise. *Medicine and Science in Sports and*
Exercise, 11, 1334–1359. <http://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e318213fefb>

Gaudino, P., Iaia, F. M., Alberti, G., Strudwick, a. J., Atkinson, G., & Gregson, W. (2013).
Monitoring training in elite soccer players: Systematic bias between running speed and
metabolic power data. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, 34(11), 963–968.

- 1
2
3 <http://doi.org/10.1055/s-0033-1337943>
4
5 Hopkins, W. (2000). Measures of reliability in sports medicine and science. *Sports Medicine*, 30, 1–
6 15.
7
8 Impellizzeri, F. M., Rampinini, E., Castagna, C., Bishop, D., Bravo, D. F., Tibaudi, A., & Wisloff,
9 U. (2008). Validity of a repeated-sprint test for football. *International Journal Sports*
10 *Medicine*, 29, 899–905. <http://doi.org/10.1055/s-2008-1038491>
11
12 Johnston, R. J., Watsford, M. L., Kelly, S. J., Matthew, J. P., & Spurrs, R. W. (2014). Validity and
13 interunit reliability of 10 Hz GPS units for assessing athletes movement demands. *Journal of*
14 *Strength and Conditioning Research*, 28(6), 1649–1655.
15
16 Krustup, P., Aagaard, P., Nybo, L., Petersen, J., Mohr, M., & Bangsbo, J. (2010). Recreational
17 football as a health promoting activity: a topical review. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine &*
18 *Science in Sports*, 20(Suppl. 1), 1–13. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0838.2010.01108.x>
19
20 Krustup, P., Nielsen, J. J., Krustup, B. R., Christensen, J. F., Pedersen, H., Randers, M. B., ...
21 Bangsbo, J. (2009). Recreational soccer is an effective health-promoting activity for untrained
22 men. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 43, 825–832.
23 <http://doi.org/10.1136/bjism.2008.053124>
24
25 Krustup, P., Randers, M. B., Andersen, L., Jackman, S. R., Bangsbo, J., & Hansen, P. R. (2013).
26 Soccer improves fitness and attenuates cardiovascular risk factors in hypertensive men.
27 *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 45(3), 553–560.
28 <http://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e3182777051>
29
30 Los Arcos, A., Martínez-Santos, R., Yanci, J., Martín, J., & Castagna, C. (2014). Variability of
31 objective and subjective intensities during ball drills in youth soccer players. *Journal of*
32 *Strength and Conditioning Research*, 28(3), 752–757.
33 <http://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0b013e3182a47f0b>
34
35 Manzi, V., Impellizzeri, F., & Castagna, C. (2014). Aerobic fitness ecological validity in elite
36 soccer players: a metabolic power approach. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*,
37 28(4), 914–919. <http://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000000000239>
38
39 Minetti, A. E., Moia, C., Roi, G. S., Susta, D., Ferretti, G., Alberto, E., ... Ferretti, G. (2002).
40 Energy cost of walking and running at extreme uphill and downhill slopes. *Journal of Applied*
41 *Physiology*, 93, 1039–1046.
42
43 Osgnach, C., Poser, S., Bernardini, R., Rinaldo, R., & Di Prampero, P. E. (2010). Energy cost and
44 metabolic power in elite soccer: A new match analysis approach. *Medicine and Science in*
45 *Sports and Exercise*, 42(1), 170–178. <http://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e3181ae5cfd>
46
47 Pedersen, M., Vorup, J., Nistrup, A., Wikman, J., Alstrøm, J., Melcher, P., ... Bangsbo, J. (2017).
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Effect of team sports and resistance training on physical function, quality of life, and
4 motivation in older adults. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports, Printing*.
5
6 Reilly, T. (2005). An ergonomics model of the soccer training process. *Journal of Sports Sciences*,
7 23(6), 561–572. <http://doi.org/10.1080/02640410400021245>
8
9 Reilly, T., & Williams, A. M. (2003). *Introduction to science and soccer*. (T. Reilly & A. Williams,
10 Eds.). London: Routledge.
11
12 Scott, T. U., Scott, T. J., & Kelly, V. G. (2016). The validity and reliability of global positioning
13 system in team sport: a brief review. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 30(5),
14 1470–1490.
15
16 Stevens, G. A. T., De Ruiter, C. J., Beek, J. P., & Savelsbergh, P. J. G. (2016). Validity and
17 reliability of 6-a-side small-sided game locomotor performance in assessing physical fitness in
18 football players. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 34(6), 527–534.
19
20 <http://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2015.1116709>
21
22 Thompson, W., & ACSM. (2009). *ACSM's Guidelines for Exercise Testing and Prescription*
23 (Edition Ei). Lippincott Williams and Wilkins.
24
25 Varley, M. C., Fairweather, I. H., & Aughey, R. J. (2012). Validity and reliability of GPS for
26 measuring instantaneous velocity during acceleration , deceleration , and constant motion.
27 *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 30(2), 121–127. <http://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2011.627941>
28
29 Vickery, W. M., Dascombe, B. J., Baker, J. D., Higham, D. G., Spratford, W. A., & Duffield, R.
30 (2014). Accuracy and reliability of GPS devices for measurement of sports-specific movement
31 patterns related to cricket, tennis, and field-based team sports. *Journal of Strength and*
32 *Conditioning Research*, 28(6), 1697–1705.
33
34 Zamparo, P., Bolomini, F., Nardello, F., & Beato, M. (2015). Energetics (and kinematics) of short
35 shuttle runs. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, 115(9), 1985–1994.
36
37 <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-015-3180-2>
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Reliability internal and external load parameters recorded during Match 1 and Match 2.

Variables	Mean of change (CI 90%)	TEM (CI 90%)	ICC (CI 90%)	R ²
Mean HR (bpm)	-1.50 (-3.3; +0.3)	4.06 (3.35; 5.19)	0.82 (0.70; 0.90)	0.67
%HR (HRmax)	-0.80 (-1.8; +0.2)	2.28 (1.88; 2.92)	0.78 (0.63; 0.88)	0.60
TD (m)	-107.6 (-189; -25.7)	186 (154; 238)	0.66 (0.45; 0.80)	0.42
Accelerations (n°)	-0.37 (-1.69; +1.16)	3.47 (2.87; 4.44)	0.62 (0.39; 0.78)	0.36
Decelerations (n°)	-0.27 (-1.68; +1.15)	3.23 (2.66; 4.13)	0.65 (0.44; 0.80)	0.40
MP (w kg ⁻¹)	-0.05 (-0.15; +0.06)	0.24 (0.29; 0.31)	0.66 (0.45; 0.80)	0.42
RV (m min ⁻¹)	-1.94 (-3.44; -0.44)	3.41 (2.88; 4.37)	0.81 (0.67; 0.89)	0.63
HSR (m)	-3.19 (-5; -1.20)	4.34 (3.58; 5.55)	0.77 (0.66; 0.85)	0.66
HMD (m)	-4.97 (-11.8; +1.86)	15.5 (12.8; 19.9)	0.81 (0.73; 0.85)	0.71

Typical error of measurement (TEM), ICC = Interclass Correlation, CI = Confidence Intervals, R² = Variance, TD = Total Distance, MP = Metabolic Power, RV = Relative Velocity, HRmax = Maximum Heart Rate, HSR = High Speed Running over 14.4 km h⁻¹, HMD = High Intensity Metabolic Power Distance over 20 w kg⁻¹.