

Addressing County Lines:

Praxis for Community Safety Practitioners.

Dr. Paul Andell University of Suffolk.

County lines is a form of ~~a~~ drug distribution which involves the exploitation of vulnerable children and young people by gangs. This new method of drug distribution has ~~ve~~ been described as a bigger threat to vulnerable participants than the exploitation exposed by the Rotherham scandal (Times November 27 2017). This article explains the contingencies and drivers in the formation of ~~ing~~ gang identities in the irregular economy of drugs and makes some suggestions to address these factors. The article discusses the social reality (ontology) of English ~~UK gangs in the UK~~ and the different theories of knowledge used to understand them ~~about gangs~~ (epistemologies) that can both help and hamper gangs policy and practices. The article sets out ~~strategic~~ ideas for good community safety practice in order to develop multi-modal partnership interventions in gang affected neighbourhoods. The article locates recent policies ~~Recent policies are located~~ within the broader political economy of crime and ~~which~~ raises questions of current policy direction to achieve safer neighbourhoods.

(Key words: County Lines, community safety, gangs, gang policy, reflexivity, partnerships, gang interventions, action research, macro and micro social field, critical realism, moral economy, safer neighbourhoods).

Introduction: Recent Press and Policy Announcements.

Concerns regarding the exploitation of vulnerable young people across the country have ~~re-~~ ~~cently~~ been reported in the national press:

Thousands of children used as drug mules by 'county lines' gangs expanding into rural parts of UK (Independent 28th November 2017)

County lines — a new form of modern-day slavery (The Times November 27th 2017)

Gangs are forcing vulnerable children to smuggle drugs across county lines (The Times 16th November 2017)

County lines gangs: how drug-running is fuelling knife crime (The Observer 9th March 2019)

These reports are predicated by empirical research studies indicating real changes to drug dealing networks now known as County lines (Windle and Briggs 2015, Coomber 2015, Disley and Liddle 2016, Hallworth 2016, Andell and Pitts 2017 & 2018, J. Jeanch and N. South 2017, Whittaker 2017, Coomber R. and Moyle L.2018).

Hallworth (2016) provides a useful description of 'County Lines' which asserts that they, *can be understood as the migration of gangs from their home area into a new geographical setting, with the objective of establishing a new physical and organisational base, from which to transplant the values and business models of their gang.*

Analysis undertaken by the National Crime Agency (NCA; 2015, 2017) assess the risks from County lines as a national threat (NCA 2017). In its Intelligence Assessment, County Lines, Gangs, and Safeguarding,(2015) the NCA describing the way street gangs, exploiting vulnerable younger adolescents in both the major cities and out of town locations, distribute narcotics across wide swathes of the country using vulnerable children and young people. In the National Briefing on County Lines Violence, Exploitation and Drug Supply (NCA 2017) it is suggested that County line drug dealing networks now affects most of the country.

In January 2016, 'County Lines' became the priority of HM Government's Ending Gang Violence and Exploitation programme (HM Government 2016). The programme offered peer partnership support to a total of 72 local authorities to support changes in the way that public services respond to gang and youth violence. Intending to further develop this partnership response the Home Office recently (25 February 2018) launched a £13 million Trusted Relationships Fund and doubled the Early Interventions fund from £11m to £22M (30th July 2018) to address sexual exploitation and violent gang crime (Home Office 2018).

While new initiatives and resources are welcomed from Government, questions remain regarding conceptualisation and analysis of current problems (Pitts 2016). Subsequently the scope and direction of partnership interventions at a local level can get confused (Crawford 1997, Crawford and L'Hoiry 2015) sometimes resulting in mis-targeting of interventions (CCJS 2015).

What's in a Name? Gang Definitions.

It is acknowledged that there are many groups of young people in the UK engaged in relatively harmless misbehaviour and the term 'gang' is sometimes over-used to describe them. Conceptualising the issues is important and it is acknowledged that it is difficult to apply a static definition to a changing phenomenon.

Andell and Pitts have consistently utilised the gang definition devised for Dying to Belong (CSJ, 2009) as :

A relatively durable, predominantly street-based group of young people who (1) see themselves (and are seen by others) as a discernible group, (2) engage in a range of criminal activity and violence, (3) identify with or lay claim over territory, (4) have some form of identifying structural feature, and (5) are in conflict with other, similar, gangs (Dying to Belong, 2009).

Andell and Pitts (2018) further suggest that we may be entering a phase of third generation street gangs in which previous street gang members have transcended from street based offending into local organised crime and the middle market drugs business.

In order to discern and analyse the issues that are viewed or experienced as harmful, theories of knowledge (epistemology) are applied to separate justified belief from opinion. Conceptualisation, collection and collation of data and analysis should assist the formulation and initial direction of appropriate policy interventions.

Policy Pendulums: Denial and Repression

Definitions and concepts of urban street gangs are important and arise from different theories of knowledge which leads to different assumptions and approaches. Approaches to gangs can be demarcated in terms of idealism, naive realism and critical realism (Matthews 2013, Andell 2015, Pitts 2016).

Some criminological scholars have questioned the existence of gangs in the UK (Hallsworth and Young 2008). Arguably their analysis utilises mainly constructivist epistemologies which do not separate the observed reality of gangs from ideas about them. This type of analysis

can lead to non interventionist approaches which do little to alleviate the strains experienced in relatively deprived neighbourhoods. Keen to avoid labelling early policy statements from the Youth Justice Board (Fitzgerald et al 2005) encompassed ideas of gang denial.

Early ideas denying the existence of gangs in the UK gave way to empirically evidenced gang intervention work through policy transfer which originated from the U.S. (Andell 2015).

These approaches are mainly informed by statistical empirical research which could be termed naive realist (Matthews 2013) as it could be argued that the observed behaviours of these studies fail to discern the causal powers which sit below the observable experience of gangs. Policy transfer of ideas about gangs from the US have sometimes led to short term repressive interventions rather than addressing root causes of gang formation and membership. For example enforcement led “gang call-ins” (Kennedy 2008) which fail to adequately involve communities have resulted in accusations of racial profiling and coercion (Centre for Crime and Justice 2015). A significant problem with this type of approach appears to be an over generalisation of what constitutes a gang and who constitutes a gang member.

Matrices and risk tools are often utilised in identifying gang membership in the deployment of gang interventions. These assessment aids are used to numerically order risk factors. Often this is done remotely from the neighbourhoods in which the behaviours and attributes occur. Subsequently the meanings which risk attributes carry are often mis-interpreted or absented and therefore explanatory power is lost (Pitts 2001, Matthews 2013, Andell and Pitts 2017). This can result in net widening and mis-targeted enforcement (CCCJ 2015). It can be argued that this approach does little to build public confidence and can exacerbate problems in neighbourhoods (Edmonds et al 1995, Andell and Pitts 2017). Therefore a more meaningful approach needs to be developed in capturing data, assessing problems and devising interventions.

A critical realist approach to gangs (Pitts 2016) assumes that observable gang behaviour is underpinned by invisible social relations ~~unobservable structures (patterns of relations and roles) cause observable events (gang behaviour). This suggests a reality of gangs independent of theories about them.~~ In this paradigm our theories about gangs ~~the world~~ are historically, socially and culturally situated and always partial. ~~Not only do gangs change in space and time but also so do our representations of them.~~

Arguably at this moment in time our best ideas about the underlying causal forces which precipitate gangs involve social structures which have push and pull factors acting in conjunction with culturally enmeshed individuals with limited choices. The pushes of social exclusionary factors such as institutional racism and unemployment act in consort with pull factors of excessive consumerism. These ideas ~~However, our ideas about gangs~~ are partial and fallible and this demands a methodological pluralism which involves a range of stakeholders when researching and formulating appropriate interventions.

Acting Local and Thinking Global

Crime and disorder is often viewed as being symptomatic of wider social, cultural and economic change at both macro and micro levels (Young 1999, Millie, Jacobson, McDonald and Hough 2005). Andell and Pitts have analysed local market changes in Class A drugs in urban centres and more recently in a County town where County lines operate (Andell and Pitts 2009, 2013 and 2018). They report shifts in the drugs business but question if these shifts simply represent a change in the business model of illicit drug distribution or more profound changes in the prevalence, organisation and functions of street gangs outside the metropolitan cities.

The irregular economy of drugs has international dimensions which are historically bound to western colonial expansion and structural violence (Jankowiak and Bradburd 2003). Following colonial expansion and the need for labour in “imperial homelands”, patterns of migration have intertwined with structural and social factors leading to threat, conflict and fear which Decker et al maintain is necessary for gang formation (Decker, Gemert and Pyrooz 2009). They argue that present motives for immigration are varied, and included some seeking refuge from dangerous situations, and others migrating for economic reasons (Engbersen et al. 2007; Van Wijk 2006).

The arrival of new communities in the US and Europe has often been met with threat and conflict sometimes leading to gang formation or reliance on pre-existing gangs for protection and perceived progression. In light of these conflicts the culture or the world view of these groups is critical. Decker et al argue that these processes have important implications as they impact on the formation and transmission of gang culture (Decker, Gemert and Pyrex 2009). Currently in the UK a dimension of gang culture which the Metropolitan Police

Commissioner has ~~is~~ ambiguously associated with the spread of crime and violence is Trap and Drill ~~Grime~~ music clips shared through social media which has resulted in the police forcing these videos to be taken down from social media sights. Cressida Dick argues,

“Most particularly, in London we have gangs who make drill videos and in those videos, they taunt each other. They say what they’re going to do to each other and specifically what they are going to do to who.” (Guardian 28th May 2018)

Trap and Drill ~~Grime~~ music (arguably a sub-genres of Hip Hop), provides distinct cultural narratives which voice social and political concerns through lyrics and symbolism (Barron 2013, Andell 2015, Ilan 2015). The range and depth of this “performance” forms a continuum from positive creative social contributions to negative expressions of gang life (Eubanks 2017). In this continuum overblown claims of violence can be made and it is difficult to discern the difference between the performative and the real. Critical realists may argue it matters little how overblown the communiqués appear as they are arguably an emergent reality, represented in video imagery and lyrics but subject to underlying causal processes. Individuals act on partial knowledge, the linguistic codes they use to describe their thoughts and actions come from what is culturally available and this in turn is subject to broader constraints (Archer 2003). Pitts (2013), warns of the dangers from the legal system that arises from a naive content analysis of You Tube clips. He argues that such analysis can be undertaken in a decontextualised enforcement framework and used as evidence for “Bad Character” and “Joint Enterprise”.

Given their origins in the entertainment industry (Hagedorn, 2008) the styles and practices that gang members absorb from globalised ‘gangsta’ culture tend to be, preposterous caricatures of human behaviour in which gang violence is exaggerated and looped between real and virtual worlds (Ferrell, Hayward and Young 2015). Andell and Pitts (2017) give an extreme example of “looped” violence from their field work. They describe young people affiliated to one rival gang surrounding an aspiring grime artist from another gang who is made to disrespect his own “ends” or turf as part of a public humiliation. He was then beaten and slashed with a knife. This incident was filmed and uploaded to social media, precipitating a series of escalating tit for tat confrontations involving gang elders. These included the discharge of a firearm in a hostel, a firebombing and shots fired into the house of a rival. It is

fair to say that from the accounts above the cautionary messages of over exaggerations and under estimations may be gleaned. Either way the “performative” cultural phenomena of music and lyrics can not be ignored in the study of gangs.

To address the impact of gang violence at the micro or neighbourhood level Andell and Pitts (op cit) have developed an interactive model of action research which is inclusive of the experiences and knowledge of stakeholders. This knowledge can be valuable not only to build multi-modal strategies in gang affected neighbourhoods but can be useful as a reflexive spur to provide feedback and direction on what works to reduce community harms. Earlier research experience (Andell and Pitts 2009, 2013, 2017) informs ideas that that single agency or ‘siloed’ approaches to problems associated with gangs and drug markets, can cause confusion and mistrust for other stakeholders and that more integrated approaches are needed. Brand and Olleranshaw (2009) cited by Pitts (2016) suggests:

- Community involvement in the planning of interventions.
- Community involvement in the delivery of interventions.
- Expertise shared between agencies and communities.
- Case management/provision ~~that was~~ personalised to individual offenders.
- Delivery of incentives to gang members to change offending behaviour.

Pitts (2016) further suggests that,

abstracted, bureau-based, responses to the gang problem may improve the lot of some gang-involved individuals. However, they cannot anticipate gang violence and victimisation in order to make pre-emptive interventions. Nor can they respond to the, almost invariably unreported, victimisation of gang-involved and gang-affected girls and young women and their parents (Beckett et. al. 2013). In particular, they cannot mediate between potential adversaries in inter-gang violence which is the forum where most gang fatalities occur. In short, most safeguarding and criminal justice agencies are destined to be in the wrong place at the wrong time.

It would appear that a key feature of an effective gang strategy is and gang mediation is appears to be that the workers should be are embedded in the neighbourhoods and the groups involved in, and most affected by, gang crime (Spergel & Grossman, 1998, Braga et al 2001, Pitts, 2008, Centre for Social Justice, 2009). Thisese embeddedness can develop relationships of trust as out-reach and patch workers would then become and are the eyes and ears of a multi-agency approach and be best placed to undertake an assessment of the gang problems in order, to work with local agencies, organisations and community groups. Community work

Local community development interventions involve practices of social change reclamation or re-subjectivation, which attempt to confront and reshape the ways in which we live and enact power (Allen 1999). In order to assist transformations to take place community groups should be given a voice on strategic multi-agency partnerships as equal stakeholders in order to establish more balanced and effective community partnerships which offer effective resistance to gangs.

As well as local support, local strategic partnerships also need regional and national support, which may include specialist intelligence and enforcement, substance misuse and housing and resettlement capacity. Additional supportive interventions have associated costs therefore, consideration should be given to the macro political economy in which spending decisions are made.

Relative Deprivation and Crime and the need for Social Inclusion.

The New Economics Foundation (NEF 2017) report that that wealthy elites tend to accumulate political influence as well as resources. They suggest that economic inequality in the UK is at dangerously high levels,

...with the richest 1% of the population owning more wealth than the poorest 50% put together. Households in the bottom 10% of the population have on average a net income of £9,277, while the top 10% have net incomes over nine times that (£83,897). Most people who have power and resources also have a sense of entitlement to what (they think) they need to live a good life, and they can use their existing assets to make sure they get it – good schools and healthcare, decent homes, rewarding employment, a secure income. Beyond the

comfortably well off, any such confidence is either very fragile, or absent altogether. Social justice cannot be achieved when that sense of security is so unevenly distributed (NEF 2017).

With such insecurity disproportionately affecting 10% of households in the poorest neighbourhoods it is perhaps unsurprising that some young men feel social inclusion and economic opportunity through gang involvement (Whittaker et al 2017). Jock Young (1999) explains that while aspirations are derived from inclusion in mainstream consumerist culture the means of achieving these aspirations are attenuated by social exclusion thus engendering an acute sense of status frustration. In the formation of a gangster identity Young (op cit) terms this a search for “Respect and Reward”. Young (op cit) points us towards social exclusion and the importance of a sense of being someone. He observes:

“... the acute relative deprivation forged out of exclusion from the mainstream is compounded with a daily threat to identity: a disrespect, a sense of being a loser, of being nothing, of humiliation.”

Winton (2014) citing Young’s analysis of social exclusion and crime summates,

“This, in turn, it is argued, leads to the formation of exaggerated identities of resistance, formed not through vertical oppositions (with the rich) but, rather, through the amplification of horizontal divisions (based on gender, ethnicity, territory, etc.)”.

Identities of resistance can be acutely demonstrated through membership of street gangs (Castells 1997, Hagedorn 2007). Hagedorn (op cit) argues that one of the characteristics of late modern life is the proliferation of armed young men involved in irregular economies. He points to the failures of the legitimate economy as a causal force which predicates the formation and sustainability of street gangs.

The development of street gangs and their involvement in the drugs business are reported as having pernicious and harmful consequences for vulnerable communities (Andell and Pitts 2017) and have a negative impact on the potential economic development and regeneration of the neighbourhoods in which they are located (Lupton et al 2013). Therefore it is imperative that solutions are found which address the structural and cultural drivers of gang identity.

Andell and Pitts (2009, 2013, 2017) propose cyclical interventions through an action research methodology which provides for real-time assessment and evaluation of problems and interventions and the building of social capital in communities.

Capitalising on Social Capital

Social capital takes two forms, bonding social capital and bridging social capital. Mørch and Andersen (2012) distinguish between the social and societal functions of gangs. Gangs are 'social' to the extent that their activities consist in ensuring mutual support in everyday life and maintaining social networks. In effect they offer their members 'bonding social capital' which enables them to 'get by'. But 'societal' gangs by providing 'bridging social capital' (Putnam, 1995) offer young people with limited prospects in the conventional world a pathway to an ostensibly brighter future. In a situation of unavailable or unattainable legitimate opportunities, a structured Class-A drug dealing organisation may offer an entrée to a potentially lucrative career in the illicit economy (Harding 2013).

However 'bridging social capital' can also be regarded as a bulwark against criminal involvement when it is utilised as a community resource that gives access to opportunities, in learning and employment which can lead to social improvement.

Bridging capital can be embedded through group processes of social pedagogy which give a critical awareness of the systems and institutions that promote or hinder progress (Ginwright et al. 2005).

Boek (2011) argues,

Policy and practice need to build on the situated activity of young people and not erode it. Enhancing young people's existing social capital is achieved by building on their existing resourcefulness, strengthening their existing support networks, opening up new horizons and creating access to new resources within a strength perspective. Institutions need to enhance resiliency and positive risk taking, nurture trusting relationships with significant others and enhance young people's outlook on life.

In order to assist young people fulfil their potential with the assistance of institutions both micro and macro changes need to take place. The social capital of community networks

needs to be enhanced and the redistributive potential of economic policy need to be enacted.

Sayer (2004) suggests that the economic cannot be understood in abstraction from the social and the cultural and therefore the reverse must also be true. He argues

...markets and associated economic phenomena both depend on and influence moral/ethical sentiments, norms and behaviours and have ethical implications.

Towards an Ethical Conclusion

The recent additional funds from Government are a welcomed life-line to assist and support some of our most vulnerable communities. Additional funding will go some of the way to offset the £387 million austerity cuts to youth services estimated to have taken place between 2010 and 2016 (Children and Young People Now 12 Aug 2016). It can be argued that public sector spending and higher taxation for those who can afford it is a moral economic choice (Sayer 2004).

Raworth (2017) argues public sector spending is often dependent on economic growth as measured by Gross Domestic Product (GDP). However, despite recent growth Palumbo (2013) argues that measurement of GDP,

...does not measure sustainable development, quality of life, human and social capital and well-being. Nor does GDP differentiate between activities that have a negative or positive impact on society and the environment.

Both macro and micro social spheres are intrinsically linked by the prevailing political economy which presently excludes and limits sustainable growth economically, culturally and socially for a minority (Savage 2016) who have little alternative but to become “reluctant gangsters” (Pitts 2008) in drug dealing networks which blight our most vulnerable neighbourhoods (NCA 2017).

What may reduce the strain is research and policies that reach beyond cycles of unlimited aspiration and blocked opportunity in order to generate resourcefulness, support and access to resources. Sayer (2005) argues that economic processes are morally influenced

and structured both internally as well as externally. He suggests that in addition to institutionalised moral economic norms, ongoing economic relationships are influenced by actors' moral sentiments and decisions.

Therefore policy is needed which is founded on the belief that research is capable of understanding the mechanisms which produce material and cultural domination and this analysis in conjunction with stakeholder knowledge could lead to a realistic program for collective actions in both the micro and macro spheres which reduces relative deprivation and curbs the cultural mores for excess.

References

Allen, J. (1999): Spatial assemblages of power: *From domination to empowerment*. In D. Massey, J. Allen, and P. Sarre (eds.), *Human Geography Today*. Cambridge: Polity Press, 194±218.

Andell P. and Pitts J. (2009) *West Yorkshire Gangs Study*. A Report for West Yorkshire Police.

Andell P. and Pitts J.(2013) *Developing the Lambeth Gangs Strategy*. A Report for the Lambeth Gangs Unit.

Andell P.(2015) *Thinking About Gangs*. University of Bedfordshire Doctoral Thesis (Unpublished).

Andell P.(2017).*Thinking Seriously About Gangs*, Palgrave. (Forthcoming).

Andell P. and Pitts J (2017) *Rethinking County Lines*. A Report for Suffolk County Council.

Andell P. and Pitts J. (2018) *The End of the Line? The Impact of County Lines Drug Distribution on Youth Crime in a Target Destination*. *Youth and Policy*.<http://www.youthand-policy.org/articles/the-end-of-the-line/> (Accessed 5th February 2018).

Archer M (1995) *Realist Social Theory. The Morphogenetic Approach*. Cambridge. Cambridge University Press.

Archer, M. (2003) *Structure, Agency and Internal Conversation*, Cambridge University Press.

Barron L. (2013) The sound of street corner society: UK grime music as ethnography. *European Journal of Cultural Studies*. Vol 16 Issue 5.

Blanchard B. (1999) The Social Significance of Rap & Hip-Hop Culture Poverty & Prejudice: Media and Race. Stanford. https://web.stanford.edu/class/e297c/poverty_prejudice/mediarace/socialsignificance.htm (Accessed 17/08/17)

Bourdieu P. (1990) *The Logic of Practice*. Cambridge. Polity Press.

Bramwell, R. (2011) *The aesthetics and ethics of London based rap: a sociology of UK hip-hop and grime*. PhD thesis, The London School of Economics and Political Science (LSE).

Braga A, Kennedy D, Waring E and Morrison A (2001) 'Problem-oriented Policing, Deterrence and Youth Violence: An Evaluation of Boston's Operation Ceasefire' *The Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency* 38(3) pp.195-225

Brand A. & Ollerenshaw R. (2009) *Gangs at the Grass Roots: Community Solutions to Street Violence*, London, London, the New Local Government Network,

Castelles M. (1997) *The Power of Identity*. Maldens MA, Blackwell.

Centre for Crime and Justice (2015) Met Criticised for Call-In Letter. <https://www.crimeandjustice.org.uk/news/met-police-criticised-gang-call-letter> (Accessed 10 October 2017)

Centre for Social Justice (2009) *Dying to Belong*, London, Centre for Social Justice

Children and Young People Now (12 August 2016) Youth services cut by £387m in six years. Adam Orford. <https://www.cypnow.co.uk/cyp/news/1158579/youth-services-cut-by-gbp387m-in-six-years> (Accesses 1st March 2017)

Coomber, R. (2015) 'A tale of two cities: understanding differences in levels of heroin/crack market related violence - a two city comparison', *Criminal Justice Review*, 40(1): 7-31.

[Coomber R. and Moyle L.\(2018\) The Changing Shape of Street-Level Heroin and Crack Supply in England – Commuting, Holidaying and Cuckooing Drug Dealers Across 'County Lines'. *British Journal of Criminology*. 58\(6\), 1323–1342](#)

Crawford, A. (1997) *The Local Governance of Crime: Appeals to Community and Partnerships*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Crawford A. and L'Hoirey X (2015) *Partnerships in the Delivery of Policing and Safeguarding Children*. Centre for Criminal Justice Studies University of Leeds:

<http://www.law.leeds.ac.uk/assets/files/research/N8PRP/Safeguarding-Report-Final-for-web.pdf> (Accessed 3rd March 2018).

Davies A. (2008) *The Gangs of Manchester*, Manchester, Milo Books

Densley J. (2013). *How gangs work: An ethnography of youth violence*. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.

Disley E. & Liddle E. (2016) *Local perspectives in Ending Gang and Youth Violence Areas: Perceptions of the Nature of Urban Street Gangs*, Research Report 88, London: Home Office.

Edmonds M. (et al,1995) *Addressing Local Drug Markets*, Home Office, London.

Goldstein, A.P. (1991) *Delinquent Gangs A Psychological Perspective*. Research Press.

Hagedorn J. (2007) *Introduction: Globalisation, Gangs and Traditional Criminology*, Hagedorn J. (ed.) *Gangs in the Global City: Alternatives to Traditional Criminology*, Chicago, University of Illinois Press

Hagedorn J (2008) *A World of Gangs, Armed Young Men and Gangsta Culture*; University of Minnesota Press

Hallsworth,S. (2013) *The Gang and Beyond*, Palgrave McMillan.

Hallsworth S. and Young T. (2004) *Getting Real About Gangs*. *Criminal Justice Matters*.<http://troublesofyouth.pbworks.com/f/Hallsworth%20-%20CJM%20Getting%20Real%20about%20Gangs.pdf> (Accessed 20/10/17)

Harding S. (2014) *The Street Casino: Survival in Violent Street Gangs*. (Paperback). Policy Press.

HM Government (2016) Ending gang violence and exploitation. https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/491699/Ending_gang_violence_and_Exploitation_FINAL.pdf (Accessed 3rd March 2018).

HM Government (2018) Trusted Relationships Fund <https://www.gov.uk/government/news/government-launches-trusted-relationships-fund> (Accessed 1st March 2018).

Carbon, C. E. (2005). Gangstas, Thugs, and Hustlas: Identity and the code of the street in rap music. *Social Problems*. 52 (3), 360-378.

Decker, S.H., van Gemert, F. & Pyrooz, (2009) *D.C. Int. Migration & Integration* 10: 393. Retrieved at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12134-009-0109-9> (Accessed 3rd March 2018)

Eubanks, K. (2017) After Blackness, Then Blackness: Afro-Pessimism, Black Life, and Classical Hip Hop as Counter-Performance. *The Journal of Hip Hop Studies; Chicago* Vol. 4, Iss. 1, (Fall 2017): 5-22.

Engbersen, G., van der Leun, J., & de Boom, J. (2007). The fragmentation of migration and crime. In M. Tonry & C. Bijleveld (Eds.), *Crime and justice. A review of research* (pp. 389–452). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Ferrel, J. Hayward, K. and Young, J. (2015) *Cultural Criminology, An Invitation*. Sage London.

Few, B. Turnbull, P.J. Duffy, M. Hough M. (2004) *Drug Markets in Rural Areas*. Institute for Criminal Policy Research King's College, London.

Firmin, C (2015) *Peer on peer abuse: safeguarding implications of contextualising abuse between young people within social fields*, (Professional Doctorate Thesis), University of Bedfordshire

Fitzgerald, M. Hallsworth, S. Joseph, I. and Young, T. (2006) *Young People, 'Gangs' and Weapon Use*, Report for the Youth Justice Board

Hallsworth S. and Young T. (2008) *Gang talk and gang talkers: A critique*. *Crime, Media, Culture: An International Journal*. Sage. Retrieved at:

<http://www.unh.edu/ccrc/pdf/jvq/NatSCEV-Children's%20ExposureFamily%Violence%20final.pdf> (Accessed 27/6/17)

Hallworth J. (2016) 'County Lines': An exploratory analysis of migrating drug gang offenders in North Essex. Dissertation Submitted in part fulfilment of the requirements for the Masters Degree in Applied Criminology and Police Management. Cambridge.

Ilan J. (2015) Understanding Street Culture Poverty, Crime, Youth and Cool. Palgrave London.

Independent Newspaper(28th November 2017) Thousands of children used as drug mules by 'county lines' gangs expanding into rural parts of UK. Retrieved at <http://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/crime/children-drug-mules-uk-thousands-county-lines-gangs-vulnerable-expanding-rural-britain-seaside-a8080001.html> (21st Feb 2018)

Jankowiak, W. and Bradburd, D. Eds. (2003) Drugs, Labor and Colonial Expansion. University of Arizona Press.

[J. Jeanch and N. South \(2017\)](#)

Kennedy D.(2008) Deterrence and Crime Prevention: Reconsidering the Prospect of Sanction. Routledge: London.

Lea, J and Young, J (1993) 'What Is To Be Done About Law and Order? Crisis in the Nineties' London: Pluto Press (originally published 1984)

Lewin K. (1946) Action Research and Minority Problems. Journal Of Social Issues. Volume 2, Issue 4.

Lewin, K. (1951). Field theory in social science. New York: Harper.

Lupton, R. People, (2013) What is neighbourhood renewal policy for? People, Place and Policy, 7/2. University of Manchester.

Matthews R. (2014) Realist Criminology. London Palgrave.

Millie A. Jacobson J. McDonald E. and Hough M. (2005) Anti-social behaviour strategies. Finding a Balance. Policy Press. University of Bristol.

Miranda, D and Claes, M. (2004). Rap Music Genres and Deviant Behaviours in French-Canadian Adolescents. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*. 33 (2), 113-122. May, T., Duffy, M., Few, B., and Hough M.(2005) Understanding drug selling in communities Insider or outsider trading? Joseph Rowntrees Trust.York.

Murji K (2007) Hierarchies, markets and networks; Ethnicity race and drug distribution. *Journal of Drug Issues*,37, 781-804 Google Scholar.

New Economics Foundation(2017) The People The Commons and the Public Realm.Retrieved at: <http://neweconomics.org/2017/05/building-new-social-commons/> (Accessed 4th March 2018)

National Crime Agency (2015) Intelligence Assessment, County Lines, Gangs, and Safeguarding, London, National Crime Agency

NCA (2017) Threats Assessment, Drugs. <http://www.nationalcrimeagency.gov.uk/crime-threats/drugs> (Accessed on 4th August 2017).

NCA (2017) County Lines Gang Violence,Exploitation & Drug Supply. <http://www.nationalcrimeagency.gov.uk/publications/753-county-lines-gang-violence-exploitation-and-drug-supply-2016/file> (Accessed 4th August 2017)

NCA (2017) National Strategic Assessment of Serious and Organised Crime. Retrieved at : <http://www.nationalcrimeagency.gov.uk/publications/807-national-strategic-assessment-of-serious-and-organised-crime-2017/file> (Accessed 01/07/17)

Paulumbo L. (2016) A post-GDP critique of the Europe 2020 strategy. European Framework for Measuring Progress (E-Frame): Proceedings of the Expert Meeting on Social Capital. Retrieved at: https://ac.els-cdn.com/S1877042813002917/1-s2.0-S1877042813002917-main.pdf?_tid=d41d6776-b73a-11e7-8ff7-00000aab0f6b&ac-dnat=1508685075_1b471c0e24c42e2a755cbc4e9ce4d48b (Accessed 20/10/17).

Pawson R. & Tilley N. (1997) Realistic Evaluation, London, Sage Publications

Pearson G. (1983) Hooligan: A History of respectable fears, Basingstoke, Macmillan.

Pitts J. (2001) Korrectional Karaoke: New Labour and the Zombification of Youth Justice. Youth Justice Volume: 1 issue: 2, page(s): 3-16

Pitts J. (2008) Reluctant Gangsters: The Changing Face of Youth Crime, Cullompton, Willan Publishing.

Pitts J. (2008) Describing and Defining Youth Gangs Community Safety Journal Volume 7 Issue 1 Pavilion Publishing Brighton.

Pitts J. (2008) Young & Safe in Lambeth: The Deliberations of the Executive Commission on Young People, Gangs and Violent Crime, Lambeth, L. B. Lambeth

Pitts J. (2009) Intervening in Gang Affected Neighbourhoods, Blyth M. & Solomon M. (eds.) Prevention and Youth Crime The Policy Press.

Pitts J. (2010) Mercenary Territory: Do Youth Gangs Really Exist, Goldson B. (ed.) Young People in Crisis, Willan Publishing.

Pitts J. (2012) Youth Crime and Criminal Victimization amongst Somali Young People in Moss Side: A Rapid Assessment Exercise, Manchester, Greater Manchester Police

Pitts J. (2016) Critical Realism and Gang Violence. In Matthews R. (2016) (Ed) What is to be Done About Crime and Punishment. London. Palgrave.

Sayer, A. (2000). Realism and Social Science. London: Sage

Sayer, A.(2006) Moral Economy', published by the Department of Sociology, Lancaster University, Lancaster LA1 4YL, UK. <http://www.comp.lancs.ac.uk/sociology/papers/sayer-moral-economy.pdf> (Accessed on 20/10/17)

Spergel I. & Grossman S. (1998) The Little Village Project: A Community Approach to the Gang Problem, Social Work 42:456–70

The Times (3rd Dec 2017) County Lines. A New Form of Modern Day Slavery. Retrieved at <https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/county-lines-a-new-form-of-modern-day-slavery-9lzw8kss2> (Accessed 21Feb 2018).

The Times (27th November 2017) Thousands of Children Groomed as Drug Mules in County Lines Trade. Retrieved at <https://www.thetimes.co.uk/article/thousands-of-children-groomed-as-drugmules-in-county-lines-trade-sz3kbfqct> (Accessed 21st February 2018).

Van Wijk, J. (2006). Luanda-Hollanda; Irreguliere (asiel)migratie van Angola naar Nederland. Nijmegen: Wolff Legal.

Wacquant L.(2008) Urban Outcasts: A Comparative Sociology of Advanced Marginality. Polity press.

Winton A (2014) Gangs in Global Perspective Sage Open <http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/0956247814544572> (Accessed 19/10/17)

Windle J. & Briggs D. (2015) 'It's like working away for two weeks', The Harms Associated with Young Drug Dealers Commuting from a Saturated London Drug Market, Crime Prevention and Community Safety, 17, pp. 105-119

Young J (1999) The Exclusive Society: Social Exclusion, Crime and Difference in Late Modernity. London. Sage.

Young, J (2007), "Globalization and social exclusion: the sociology of vindictiveness and the criminology of transgression", in J M Hagedorn (editor), Gangs in the Global City: Alternatives to Traditional Criminology, University of Illinois Press, Urbana/Chicago

Young J. (2011) The Criminological Imagination. London,Sage Publishing

