

1 **Correlation between quadriceps and hamstrings inter-limb strength asymmetry**
2 **with change of direction and sprint in U21 elite soccer-players.**

3
4 Giuseppe Coratella ^{1,2}, Marco Beato ³, Federico Schena ²

5 ¹ Department of Biomedical Sciences for Health, University of Milano, Italy.

6 ² Department of Neurological, Biomedical and Movement Sciences, University of
7 Verona, Italy.

8 ³ Faculty of Health and Science, Department of Science and Technology, University of
9 Suffolk, Ipswich, UK.

10
11 Corresponding author: Giuseppe Coratella, Department of Biomedical Sciences for
12 Health, University of Milano, Italy.

13 Mail address: Via Giuseppe Colombo 71, 20133, Milano, Italy.

14 Email: giuseppe.coratella@univr.it

35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61

Correlation between quadriceps and hamstrings inter-limb strength asymmetry with change of direction and sprint in U21 elite soccer-players.

62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87

Abstract

The aim of this study was to investigate the relationship between in quadriceps and hamstrings inter-limb strength asymmetry and change of direction, sprinting and jumping abilities in U21 elite soccer players. Twenty-seven soccer players volunteered for this study. Isokinetic quadriceps and hamstrings peak torque was measured at high and low angular velocities, both in concentric and eccentric modalities. Performance in agility T-test, 20+20 m shuttle-test, 10 m and 30 m sprint, squat jump (SJ) and counter-movement jump (CMJ), were measured. Overall, time on agility T-test and 20+20 m shuttle-test was moderately and positively correlated with the quadriceps and hamstrings inter-limb eccentric peak torque asymmetry, both at high and low angular velocities. In addition, time on 10 m and 30 m sprints was moderately and positively correlated with the hamstrings inter-limb high-velocity concentric peak torque asymmetry. SJ and CMJ showed trivial to small correlations with hamstrings and quadriceps inter-limb peak torque asymmetry. The present results provide further information insight the role of lower-limb muscle strength balance in COD, sprinting and jumping performance.

Keywords: Isokinetic peak-torque; squat jump; counter-movement jump; agility T-test; shuttle test

88 1. Introduction

89 With the increase in physiological demands shown in soccer matches in the last
90 decade, the importance of players' physical abilities has also increased (Bush, Barnes,
91 Archer, Hogg, & Bradley, 2015). It was recently shown that change of direction (COD)
92 and sprinting affect the players' external and internal loads (Coratella, Beato, & Schena,
93 2016). In addition, players are also required to jump to contest the ball from the
94 opponent player (e.g., from a cross or corner). COD, sprinting and jumping require
95 lower-limb muscles to exert maximal strength to accelerate and decelerate body mass,
96 both in horizontal and in vertical directions (Bobbert, Gerritsen, Litjens, & Van Soest,
97 1996; Morin et al., 2015). Hence, the interest in the relationship between lower-limb
98 muscle strength and such abilities has arisen over time (Brooks, Clark, & Dawes, 2013;
99 Comfort, Stewart, Bloom, & Clarkson, 2014; de Hoyo et al., 2015; Kellis & Katis,
100 2007; Newman, Tarpinning, & Marino, 2004; Ostenberg, Roos, Ekdahl, & Roos, 1998;
101 Wisløff, Castagna, Helgerud, Jones, & Hoff, 2004).

102 Among the several lower-limb muscle-groups, quadriceps and hamstrings are widely
103 involved in COD, sprinting and jumping (Silva, Nassis, & Rebelo, 2015). Therefore, the
104 studies that have investigated the correlation between lower-limb muscle strength and
105 performance in COD, sprinting and jumping have mainly focused on quadriceps and
106 hamstrings (Morin et al., 2015; Newman et al., 2004; Wisløff et al., 2004). Particularly,
107 since during COD sprinting and jumping they act in both concentric and eccentric
108 modalities, the use of an isokinetic dynamometer allows to separately measure the
109 concentric or eccentric maximal strength of both quadriceps and hamstrings (Brooks et
110 al., 2013; Chaouachi et al., 2012; Coratella, Bellin, Beato, & Schena, 2015; Coratella,
111 Bellini, & Schena, 2016; Ostenberg et al., 1998). Specifically, peak torque is mostly
112 used as a valid and reliable parameter to measure maximal strength (Brooks et al., 2013;
113 Coratella & Bertinato, 2015; Impellizzeri, Rampinini, Maffiuletti, & Marcora, 2007;

114 Ostenberg et al., 1998). Screening the quadriceps and hamstrings peak torque allows the
115 evaluation of inter-limb or anterior-posterior asymmetry in strength, which is used to
116 monitor muscle strength asymmetries **that** are strongly correlated with high risk for
117 hamstrings strain injury (Fousekis, Tsepis, Poulmedis, Athanasopoulos, & Vagenas,
118 2011). Indeed, several studies have investigated the hamstrings-to-quadriceps peak
119 torque ratio (Coratella, Bellin, et al., 2015; Coratella, Bellini, et al., 2016; Delextrat,
120 Gregory, & Cohen, 2010) or the inter-limb asymmetry in hamstrings peak torque
121 (Fousekis et al., 2011) and their relationship with injury risk in soccer players.

122 In professional soccer players, inter-limb asymmetry in quadriceps and
123 hamstrings maximal strength indicated a reduced muscle function and an increased risk
124 of injury (Hägglund, Waldén, & Ekstrand, 2013). Particularly, it was shown that an
125 hamstrings inter-limb eccentric strength asymmetry is a predictor of hamstrings strains
126 (Fousekis et al., 2011). In addition, strength dominance was shown to account for a
127 better drive kick performance with the stronger limb (McLean & Tumilty, 1993). Thus,
128 inter-limb strength symmetry seems desirable for improving performance in soccer-
129 related abilities (Rouissi et al., 2016). Notwithstanding, little is known about the
130 relationship between quadriceps and hamstrings inter-limb strength asymmetry and
131 COD, sprinting and jumping abilities in soccer players. Quadriceps inter-limb strength
132 asymmetry accounted for a decrease in COD performance in young soccer players when
133 required to side-step with the weaker vs stronger limb (Rouissi et al., 2016). In contrast,
134 quadriceps inter-limb isokinetic peak torque asymmetry showed no correlation with the
135 difference in single-limb jump height performed with the stronger or weaker lower-limb
136 in physically active men (Kobayashi et al., 2013). Similarly, a computer-simulation
137 study found negligible differences between inter-limb symmetry vs asymmetry bilateral
138 jump height models, speculating that the stronger lower-limb could have compensated
139 for the muscle deficit of the weaker limb in both squat jump (SJ) (Yoshioka, Nagano,

140 Hay, & Fukashiro, 2011) and counter-movement jump (CMJ) (Yoshioka, Nagano, Hay,
141 & Fukashiro, 2010).

142 Investigating the relationship between quadriceps and hamstrings inter-limb
143 maximal isokinetic strength asymmetry and COD, sprinting and jumping performance
144 could help to clarify the role of muscle strength imbalance and its impact on
145 performance. Therefore, the aim of the current study was to investigate the correlation
146 between the quadriceps and hamstrings inter-limb isokinetic concentric and eccentric
147 peak torque asymmetry and COD, sprinting and jumping performance in U21 elite
148 soccer players.

149

150 2. Methods

151 2.1. *Experimental design*

152 The present investigation was designed as a cross-sectional study. The sample
153 size a priori was calculated using a sample size calculator (G-Power 2.0, Brunsbüttel,
154 Germany). Assuming the effect size=0.5 (moderate), the α -error=0.05 and the
155 power=0.8, the sample size resulted in 21 participants. Due to the higher number of
156 participants recruited, an a-posteriori power analysis resulted as $1-\beta=0.89$.

157

158 2.2. *Procedures*

159 The present investigation was assessed in pre-season. The participants were
160 involved in two testing sessions per week for two weeks, for a total of four testing
161 sessions. In the first week, the participants were accustomed to the isokinetic testing
162 procedures (first session) and to the COD, sprinting and jumping procedures (second
163 session). In the second week, the participants were tested according to the same
164 procedures of the first week. The isokinetic and the COD, sprint and jump testing-order
165 were randomized over the two testing-sessions, i.e.: the participants performed either

166 isokinetic or COD, sprint and jump measurements within the same session (**Chaouachi**
167 **et al., 2012; Fousekis, Tsepis, & Vagenas, 2010**). Particularly, four different isokinetic
168 testing-orders including hamstrings or quadriceps and right or left lower-limb were
169 randomized among the participants (Fousekis et al., 2010). Similarly, four different
170 testing-orders including COD, sprinting and jumping measurements were randomized
171 among the participants. The randomization of the COD, sprinting and jumping
172 measurements was done to avoid that the same testing-order may have resulted in a
173 possible fatigue within the same task. Each testing-session was separated by at least two
174 days.

175 Inter-limb asymmetry in quadriceps and hamstrings isokinetic peak torque was
176 selected as the independent parameter. For a comprehensive evaluation, the knee-
177 extension and knee flexion peak torque of both quadriceps and hamstrings was
178 measured at both low and high knee angular velocities, both in concentric and in
179 eccentric modalities. Therefore, a total of eight different testing modalities were carried
180 out. The testing order consisted of first concentric, from low to high angular velocity
181 and then eccentric, from low to high angular velocity, as previously used (Rahnama,
182 Reilly, Lees, & Graham-Smith, 2003).

183 The dependent parameters were selected from the most used in literature that
184 evaluate the physical abilities in soccer (Silva et al., 2015). Therefore, COD was
185 evaluated using the 20m+20m shuttle-test and agility T-test; sprinting ability was
186 assessed by the 10 m and 30 m sprint test and jumping ability was evaluated with SJ
187 and CMJ. Although soccer players are not typically required to perform specific SJ or
188 CMJ actions during a match, it is acknowledged that these types of jumps are largely
189 used to evaluate the improvement in jumping ability in soccer players (Silva et al.,
190 2015). In addition, due to the inter-limb symmetrical nature of both SJ and CMJ, it was
191 decided to evaluate if an inter-limb asymmetry could affect the jumping ability in both

192 jumps (Yoshioka et al., 2010). Finally, the procedures of both SJ and CMJ are simple
193 and clearly related to lower-limb maximal strength (Yoshioka et al., 2010).

194 195 *2.3. Participants*

196 Twenty-seven male U21 elite soccer players (age ranging from 18 to 21 years;
197 body-mass = 73.7 ± 7.0 Kg; height = 1.81 ± 0.05 m) volunteered to participate in the
198 present investigation. The participants joined the AC Chievo U21 team, which competes
199 in the Italian Serie A U21 soccer championship. All the participants were healthy,
200 without cardiac or pulmonary diseases, as certified by the club's medical staff. Players
201 with knee, ankle or hip injury in the previous six months were excluded from the
202 present investigation. Therefore, three out of 30 players were excluded from the present
203 investigation. The participants and the team staff were previously informed about the
204 potential risks of this study, and they provided written informed consent. The
205 procedures were assessed according to the Declaration of Helsinki (1975) and further
206 updates concerning the studies involving human subjects. Finally, the local Ethical
207 Committee of the University of Verona approved the study.

208 209 *2.4. Strength measurements*

210 The strength of the quadriceps and hamstrings was measured by an isokinetic
211 dynamometer (Cybex Norm, Ronconcoma, USA). The device was calibrated and the
212 gravity correction executed according to the manufacturer's procedures. All procedures
213 were conducted according previous studies (Coratella, Milanese, & Schena, 2015a,
214 2015b). After a standardized warm up, consisting of a separate 10 sub-maximal
215 concentric and 10 sub-maximal eccentric repetitions for quadriceps or hamstrings, peak
216 torque was investigated at low ($30 \text{ degrees} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$) and high ($300 \text{ degrees} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$) angular-
217 velocities, both in concentric and in eccentric modalities, in both quadriceps and

218 hamstrings. Since the velocity at which the **limbs** act during COD, sprinting and
219 jumping spans from null or very low to very high, measurements of low and high
220 angular velocities were used. However, although the **limbs** usually reach higher angular
221 velocities during sprinting or jumping (Nagahara, Matsubayashi, Matsuo, & Zushi,
222 2014), $300 \text{ degrees} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ was used according to previous studies (Coratella, Bellin, et al.,
223 2015; Rahnama et al., 2003). Considering full knee extension = 0 degrees, the range of
224 movement in all the conditions was from 90 to 10 degrees or from 10 to 90 degrees. The
225 participants performed three repetitions for each modality. Both lower-limbs were
226 tested, and the peak torque (the single highest value from the three repetitions, provided
227 by the device by a sample frequency of 100 Hz) for each testing-modality was
228 normalized for the individual's body-mass. Finally, the inter-limb asymmetry in peak
229 torque was separately calculated according to the formula (Impellizzeri et al., 2007):

$$230 \text{ Asymmetry} = (\text{stronger limb} - \text{weaker limb}) / \text{stronger limb} \times 100,$$

231 which was recommended by the authors for healthy subjects, and inserted into the data
232 analysis. Each set was separated by two minutes of passive rest. The operators provided
233 standardized encouragements to the participants to maximally perform each repetition.
234 High test-retest reliability resulted for the peak torque measured in knee extension
235 (respectively: slow concentric: $\alpha=0.961$; slow eccentric: $\alpha=0.910$; fast concentric:
236 $\alpha=0.937$ and fast eccentric: $\alpha=0.906$) and knee flexion (respectively: slow concentric:
237 $\alpha=0.942$; slow eccentric: $\alpha=0.901$; fast concentric: $\alpha=0.899$ and fast eccentric:
238 $\alpha=0.910$).

239 *2.5. Squat jump and counter-movement jump*

240 The peak height of SJ and CMJ was investigated using an infrared device, with a
241 sensitivity of 0.001 m (OptoJump, Microgate, Italy). In SJ, the participants were
242 instructed to stand, flex the knees to approximately 90° and jump. The participants must
243 avoid as much as possible any counter-movement and they were instructed to stop for

244 2s at each phase. In CMJ, the participants were instructed to stand, lower themselves to
245 a self-selected knee flexion and immediately jump. Arms were placed on the hips for SJ
246 and CMJ tests. In both SJ and CMJ the participants were instructed to avoid any knee-
247 flexion before the landing, and the operator visually checked it (Figure 1). The
248 participants were allowed to perform up to three trials to improve their technique in
249 both SJ and CMJ. Once they felt ready for the task, three attempts were performed for
250 each jump, and the peak-height was inserted into the data analysis. Two minutes of
251 passive rest separated each jump. The test-retest reliability was for SJ: $\alpha = 0.894$ and for
252 CMJ: $\alpha=0.875$.

253 Please insert figure 1 here

254 *2.6. Sprinting and COD*

255 The time-trials of 10 m and 30 m sprint, 20+20 m shuttle-test and agility T-test
256 (Alemdaroğlu, 2012; Chaouachi et al., 2012) were separately investigated using an
257 infrared device (Polifemo, Microgate, Italy).

258 20+20 m shuttle test was performed using two timing gates 20 m apart, and a cone
259 was placed 1 m beyond the second gate. The participants stood behind the first gate and
260 had to sprint towards the second gate, touch the cone and sprint back to the first gate.
261 The trial was not considered if participants failed to touch the cone.

262 Agility T-test was performed turning right or left as first, and the sum of the two
263 trials was inserted in the data analysis. The participants had to sprint forward 9.14 m
264 from the start line to the first cone and touch the tip with their right hand, shuffle 4.57 m
265 left to the second cone and touch it with their left hand, then shuffle 9.14 m right to the
266 third cone and touch it with their right hand, and shuffle 4.57 m back left to the middle
267 cone and touch it with their left hand before finally back pedalling to the start line. The
268 participants had one trial to further familiarize with the task. The trials were not
269 considered if participants failed to touch a designated cone or failed to face forward at

270 all times. Only one timing gate placed on the start-finish line was used for timing the T-
271 test.

272 The participants were allowed to perform two trials to improve their personal
273 technique in both 20+20 m shuttle and agility T-test. Once they felt ready for the task,
274 each test was repeated three times and the best performance was calculated and inserted
275 into the data analysis. Two minutes of passive rest separated each trial.

276 High test-retest reliability was found for 10 m sprint: $\alpha = 0.903$, 30 m sprint: $\alpha =$
277 0.909 , 20+20 m shuttle: $\alpha = 0.869$ and agility T-test: $\alpha = 0.857$.

278 *2.7. Statistical analysis*

279 The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 20 (IBM, USA). The normality
280 of the data was analysed using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The test-retest reliability
281 was analysed using the intra-class coefficient (Cronbach- α). The correlations between
282 the asymmetries in peak torque for each testing-modality and the dependent parameters
283 were calculated using Pearson's-r test. The effect size (ES) of the correlation was
284 interpreted as follows: <0.1 , trivial; 0.1 to 0.3 , small; 0.3 to 0.5 , moderate; 0.5 to 0.7 ,
285 large; 0.7 to 0.9 , very large; >0.9 , nearly perfect (Hopkins, 2007). Descriptive statistics
286 were shown as mean with standard deviation.

287

288 3. Results

289 The results of the independent parameters are shown in Table 1.

290 In the stronger lower-limb, concentric peak torque measured at low angular
291 velocity was 3.46 ± 0.38 and $1.95 \pm 0.25 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ and the eccentric peak torque at
292 low angular velocity was 4.12 ± 0.63 and $2.42 \pm 0.43 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ for quadriceps and
293 hamstrings, respectively. The concentric peak torque measured at high angular velocity
294 was 1.77 ± 0.18 and $1.06 \pm 0.22 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ and the eccentric peak torque measured at

295 high angular velocity was 3.59 ± 0.57 and $2.26 \pm 0.44 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ for quadriceps and
296 hamstrings, respectively.

297 In the weaker lower-limb, concentric peak torque measured at low angular
298 velocity was 3.14 ± 0.35 and $1.75 \pm 0.23 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ and the eccentric peak torque at
299 low angular velocity was 3.71 ± 0.73 and $2.17 \pm 0.49 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ for quadriceps and
300 hamstrings, respectively. The concentric peak torque measured at high angular velocity
301 was 1.63 ± 0.16 and $1.05 \pm 0.22 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ and the eccentric peak torque measured at
302 high angular velocity was 3.46 ± 0.64 and $2.19 \pm 0.46 \text{ N} \cdot \text{m} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ for quadriceps and
303 hamstrings, respectively.

304 (Insert Table 1 here)

305

306 The results of the dependent parameters are shown in Table 2.

307 (Insert Table 2 here)

308

309 The correlations between the quadriceps and hamstrings inter-limb peak-torque
310 asymmetry in and COD, sprinting and jumping performance are shown in Table 3.
311 Overall, moderate correlations were found between the inter-limb peak torque
312 asymmetry measured at high angular velocities (both for quadriceps and for hamstrings)
313 and the dependent parameters. Inter-limb eccentric peak torque asymmetry in both
314 quadriceps and hamstrings moderately to largely correlated with performance in COD.
315 Hamstrings inter-limb concentric peak torque asymmetry moderately correlated with
316 sprinting performance.

317 (Insert Table 3 here)

318

319 4. Discussion

320 To the best of the authors' knowledge, the present study was the first that has
321 investigated if quadriceps and hamstrings inter-limb peak torque asymmetry was
322 correlated with COD, sprinting and jumping abilities in U21 elite soccer players. Time
323 on agility T-test was positively correlated with the quadriceps inter-limb eccentric peak
324 torque asymmetry at high angular velocity (ES: moderate), with the hamstrings inter-
325 limb concentric peak torque asymmetry at high angular velocity (ES: large) and with
326 inter-limb eccentric peak torque asymmetry at both low (ES: moderate) and high
327 angular velocity (ES: moderate). Time on 20+20m shuttle-test was moderately and
328 positively correlated with the quadriceps inter-limb eccentric peak torque asymmetry at
329 both low (ES: moderate) and high angular velocity (ES: moderate) and with the
330 hamstrings inter-limb eccentric peak torque asymmetry at high angular velocity (ES:
331 moderate). Time on 10 m and 30 m sprint was positively correlated with the hamstrings
332 inter-limb concentric peak torque asymmetry (ES: moderate). Lastly, both SJ and CMJ
333 showed trivial to small negative correlations with the quadriceps and hamstrings inter-
334 limb peak torque asymmetry, whatever the contraction modality.

335 The importance of COD in soccer has recently been highlighted as a fundamental
336 aspect in soccer physiological demands (Coratella, Beato, et al., 2016). The current
337 results highlight the role of eccentric strength in both quadriceps and hamstrings within
338 the 90 and 180 degrees turn. Indeed, COD requires both quadriceps and hamstrings to
339 strongly decelerate the inertia of the body accumulated during a sprint (Neptune,
340 Wright, & van den Bogert, 1999) and to stabilize both knees and hips to contribute to
341 the propulsion phase (Rouissi et al., 2016). The inter-limb strength asymmetry accounts
342 for the difference in COD performance when the stronger or the weaker lower-limb is
343 used as the prime mover in side-stepping (Rouissi et al., 2016). The same authors
344 argued that, although the predominant role of the hip-abductors and hip-adductors in
345 side-stepping, quadriceps and hamstrings have a synergic role. During the T-test, the

346 athletes are required to side-step in both stronger and weaker lower-limb directions.
347 Hence, it can be argued that an inter-limb strength asymmetry may result in a greater
348 effectiveness in side-stepping towards the stronger compared to the weaker lower-limb
349 direction. In addition, the nature of the T-test, in which one has to change from the
350 original forward run to a side-step run, requires both quadriceps and hamstrings to brake
351 the inertia, control the body mass and adjust the strides (Rand & Ohtsuki, 2000).
352 Consequently, such a change of movement pattern exerted by the stronger or the weaker
353 lower-limb can explain the decrease in performance with the increasing of the inter-
354 limb asymmetry in quadriceps eccentric peak torque (Neptune et al., 1999). Similarly
355 during a 180 degrees turning action (i.e.: 20+20m shuttle), inter-limb asymmetry in
356 eccentric peak torque correlated with performance. It can be speculated that the
357 participants have turned using their preferred lower-limb to perform the only turn
358 required in that task. Intriguingly, it was shown that the choice of the limb for both
359 stabilization and mobilization was not dependent on the limb preference, but it seems to
360 depend on the task (Grouios, Hatzitaki, Kollias, & Koidou, 2009). However, it would
361 seem that the preferred limb is mostly used as the prime mover (Grouios et al., 2009). It
362 may be speculated that the stabilization provided by the weaker lower-limb could have
363 been affected by its lower strength, thus resulting in a slower turning. This may lead to
364 confound the results further, since the stronger and the preferred limb to this specific
365 task may not be the same. On the other hand, considering the fast braking action
366 occurring during the task, the quadriceps and hamstrings inter-limb eccentric peak
367 torque asymmetry moderately correlated with the 20+20m shuttle time. Since both
368 lower-limbs are required to contribute to the deceleration action, an inter-limb strength
369 asymmetry may affect the COD performance.

370 Moderate correlations resulted between 10 m and 30 m sprints performance and
371 the inter-limb asymmetry in hamstrings concentric peak torque at high angular velocity.

372 The role of hamstrings in the development of the horizontal force during sprinting was
373 recently highlighted (Morin et al., 2015). Indeed, hamstrings are required to accelerate
374 the centre of mass throughout fast consecutive hips-extensions and to increase the step
375 frequency and velocity throughout fast knee-flexions (Exell, Irwin, Gittoes, & Kerwin,
376 2017). The same authors have found both minimum knee-flexion and maximum hip-
377 extension angle asymmetry and they have argued that it can reflect an inter-limb
378 hamstrings strength asymmetry (Exell et al., 2017). However, given the complexity of
379 the sprinting technique, the athletes may have compensated their inter-limb hamstrings
380 strength asymmetry by enhancing other movements (e.g. plantar flexion) (Mero, Komi,
381 & Gregor, 1992). As a result, top-level sprinters accelerate by increasing their stride
382 length, which could be different in the right or left lower-limb depending on the
383 maximal strength of each limb (Rabita et al., 2015). Therefore, although specific inter-
384 limb muscle strength asymmetry, these additional compensatory movements may be
385 used to reduce the sprint performance (Exell et al., 2017).

386 The current results did not report any correlation between asymmetry in strength
387 (irrespective of the muscle, angular velocity, and contraction modality) and both SJ and
388 CMJ. In line with the current results, a computer-simulation study showed that an intra-
389 limb strength difference of 10% did not result in any significant change compared to the
390 symmetric model, in either SJ (Yoshioka et al., 2011), or CMJ (Yoshioka et al., 2010).
391 To achieve their goals, the authors set in both studies a 10% difference in strength in
392 several muscle-groups (i.e.: quadriceps, hamstrings, glutei, gastrocnemii). Compared to
393 the symmetrical model, the authors found a greater **performance** exerted by the
394 stronger lower-limb and a lower **performance** exerted by the weaker lower-limb within
395 the asymmetrical model in both SJ (Yoshioka et al., 2010) and CMJ (Yoshioka, et al.,
396 2011). Hence, the same authors argued that the stronger limb might have compensated
397 for the strength-deficit, thus resulting in a similar jump height in asymmetrical vs

398 symmetrical model. Although the quadriceps and hamstrings inter-limb strength
399 asymmetry presently reported, the lack of significant correlation with the SJ and CMJ
400 height suggests a consistent compensation by the stronger limb.

401 The present study comes with some acknowledged limitations. Firstly, although
402 the strength-evaluations provided by the isokinetic dynamometer are accurate, they can
403 only refer to single-joint movements. The complexity of the COD, sprinting and
404 jumping abilities depends on several additional factors (e.g., running kinematics and
405 kinetics or different muscle roles) that must be taken into account for a more
406 comprehensive evaluation. Additionally, both quadriceps and hamstrings have been
407 evaluated as only knee-extensors and -flexors, respectively. Useful information might
408 have been provided by further evaluations, considering quadriceps and hamstrings as
409 hip-flexors or hip-extensors. Importantly, the present study was not designed to
410 investigate the correlation between the hamstrings-to-quadriceps ratio and the
411 performance in COD, sprinting and jumping. Indeed, the angular velocities considered
412 here are different from the angular velocity suggested and mainly used in literature to
413 evaluate and interpret both the conventional and the functional hamstrings-to-
414 quadriceps ratio ($60 \text{ degrees} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$) (Coratella, Bellin, et al., 2015; Delextrat et al., 2010;
415 Rahnama et al., 2003). Finally, the present results are specific for U21 elite soccer-
416 players. Different results may occur in different populations.

417

418 5. Conclusions

419 In conclusion, the current study highlighted that quadriceps and hamstrings inter-
420 limb peak torque asymmetry is correlated with COD and sprinting ability, while no
421 correlation was found with SJ and CMJ. The present results offer new insight into the
422 specific role of the lower-limb muscle strength in COD, sprinting and jumping actions.

423

424 Acknowledgements

425 The authors are grateful to the A.C. Chievo team-managers, medical staff and
426 soccer players that agreed with the present procedures. The authors are also grateful to
427 Valentina Bellini MSc., Nicola Zanotti MSc. and Camilla Trentin MSc. for their
428 precious help in data collection. Finally, authors want to thank Damien Young MSc for
429 his precious help in drafting the manuscript.

430

431 References

- 432 Alemdaroğlu, U. (2012). The relationship between muscle strength, anaerobic performance, agility,
433 sprint ability and vertical jump performance in professional basketball players. *Journal of*
434 *Human Kinetics*, 31, 149–58. <http://doi.org/10.2478/v10078-012-0016-6>
- 435 Bobbert, M. F., Gerritsen, K. G. M., Litjens, M. C. A., & Van Soest, A. J. (1996). Why is
436 countermovement jump height greater than squat jump height ? *Medicine and Science in*
437 *Sports and Exercise*, 28(11), 1402–1412.
- 438 Brooks, K. A., Clark, S. L., & Dawes, J. J. (2013). Isokinetic Strength and Performance in
439 Collegiate Women’s Soccer. *Journal of Novel Physiotherapies*, Suppl 3, 1.
440 <http://doi.org/10.4172/2165-7025.S3-001>
- 441 Bush, M., Barnes, C., Archer, D. T., Hogg, B., & Bradley, P. S. (2015). Evolution of match
442 performance parameters for various playing positions in the English Premier League. *Human*
443 *Movement Science*, 39, 1–11. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2014.10.003>
- 444 Chaouachi, A., Manzi, V., Chaalali, A., Wong, D. P., Chamari, K., & Castagna, C. (2012).
445 Determinants analysis of change-of-direction ability in elite soccer players. *Journal of Strength*
446 *and Conditioning Research / National Strength & Conditioning Association*, 26(10), 2667–76.
447 <http://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0b013e318242f97a>
- 448 Comfort, P., Stewart, A., Bloom, L., & Clarkson, B. (2014). Relationship between strength, sprint
449 and jump performance in well trained youth soccer players. *Journal of Strength &*

450 *Conditioning Research*, 28(1), 173–177.

451 Coratella, G., Beato, M., & Schena, F. (2016). The specificity of the Loughborough Intermittent
452 Shuttle Test for recreational soccer players is independent of their intermittent running ability.
453 *Research in Sports Medicine*, 24(4), 363–74. <http://doi.org/10.1080/15438627.2016.1222279>

454 Coratella, G., Bellin, G., Beato, M., & Schena, F. (2015). Fatigue affects peak joint torque angle in
455 hamstrings but not in quadriceps. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 33(12), 1276–82.
456 <http://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2014.986185>

457 Coratella, G., Bellini, V., & Schena, F. (2016). Shift of optimum angle after concentric-only
458 exercise performed at long vs. short muscle length. *Sport Sciences for Health*, 12(1), 85–90.
459 <http://doi.org/10.1007/s11332-016-0258-0>

460 Coratella, G., & Bertinato, L. (2015). Isoload vs isokinetic eccentric exercise: a direct comparison
461 of exercise-induced muscle damage and repeated bout effect. *Sport Sciences for Health*, 11(1),
462 87–96. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s11332-014-0213-x>

463 Coratella, G., Milanese, C., & Schena, F. (2015a). Cross-education effect after unilateral eccentric-
464 only isokinetic vs dynamic constant external resistance training. *Sport Sciences for Health*,
465 11(3), 329–335. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s11332-015-0244-y>

466 Coratella, G., Milanese, C., & Schena, F. (2015b). Unilateral eccentric resistance training: a direct
467 comparison between isokinetic and dynamic constant external resistance modalities. *European*
468 *Journal of Sport Science*, 15(8), 720–6. <http://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2015.1060264>

469 de Hoyo, M., de la Torre, A., Pradas, F., Sañudo, B., Carrasco, L., Mateo-Cortes, J., ... Gonzalo-
470 Skok, O. (2015). Effects of eccentric overload bout on change of direction and performance in
471 soccer players. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, 36(4), 308–14.
472 <http://doi.org/10.1055/s-0034-1395521>

473 Delestrat, A., Gregory, J., & Cohen, D. (2010). The use of the functional H:Q ratio to assess fatigue
474 in soccer. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, 31(3), 192–7. [http://doi.org/10.1055/s-](http://doi.org/10.1055/s-0029-1243642)
475 0029-1243642

- 476 Exell, T., Irwin, G., Gittoes, M., & Kerwin, D. (2017). Strength and performance asymmetry during
477 maximal velocity sprint running. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*, 27,
478 1273–82. <http://doi.org/10.1111/sms.12759>
- 479 Fousekis, K., Tsepis, E., Poulmedis, P., Athanasopoulos, S., & Vagenas, G. (2011). Intrinsic risk
480 factors of non-contact quadriceps and hamstring strains in soccer: a prospective study of 100
481 professional players. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 45(9), 709–14.
482 <http://doi.org/10.1136/bjism.2010.077560>
- 483 Fousekis, K., Tsepis, E., & Vagenas, G. (2010). Lower limb strength in professional soccer players:
484 Profile, asymmetry, and training age. *Journal of Sports Science and Medicine*, 9(3), 364–373.
- 485 Grouios, G., Hatzitaki, V., Kollias, N., & Koidou, I. (2009). Investigating the stabilising and
486 mobilising features of footedness. *Laterality: Asymmetries of Body, Brain and Cognition*,
487 14(4), 362–380. <http://doi.org/10.1080/13576500802434965>
- 488 Hägglund, M., Waldén, M., & Ekstrand, J. (2013). Risk Factors for Lower Extremity Muscle Injury
489 in Professional Soccer. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 41(2), 327–335.
490 <http://doi.org/10.1177/0363546512470634>
- 491 Hopkins, W. G. (2007). A spreadsheet for deriving a confidence interval, mechanistic inference and
492 clinical inference from a p value. *Sportscience*, 11, 16–20. <http://doi.org/NOT FOUND>
- 493 Impellizzeri, F. M., Rampinini, E., Maffiuletti, N., & Marcora, S. M. (2007). A Vertical Jump Force
494 Test for Assessing Bilateral Strength Asymmetry in Athletes. *Medicine & Science in Sports &
495 Exercise*, 39(11), 2044–2050. <http://doi.org/10.1249/mss.0b013e31814fb55c>
- 496 Kellis, E., & Katis, A. (2007). The relationship between isokinetic knee extension and flexion
497 strength with soccer kick kinematics: an electromyographic evaluation. *The Journal of Sports
498 Medicine and Physical Fitness*, 47(4), 385–94.
- 499 Kobayashi, Y., Kubo, J., Matsubayashi, T., Matsuo, A., Kobayashi, K., & Ishii, N. (2013).
500 Relationship between bilateral differences in single-leg jumps and asymmetry in isokinetic
501 knee strength. *Journal of Applied Biomechanics*, 29(1), 61–67.

- 502 McLean, B. D., & Tumilty, D. M. (1993). Left-right asymmetry in two types of soccer kick. *British*
503 *Journal of Sports Medicine*, 27(4), 260–2.
- 504 Mero, A., Komi, P. V., & Gregor, R. J. (1992). Biomechanics of sprint running. A review. *Sports*
505 *Medicine (Auckland, N.Z.)*, 13(6), 376–92.
- 506 Morin, J.-B., Gimenez, P., Edouard, P., Arnal, P., Jiménez-Reyes, P., Samozino, P., ...
507 Mendiguchia, J. (2015). Sprint Acceleration Mechanics: The Major Role of Hamstrings in
508 Horizontal Force Production. *Frontiers in Physiology*, 6.
509 <http://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2015.00404>
- 510 Nagahara, R., Matsubayashi, T., Matsuo, A., & Zushi, K. (2014). Kinematics of transition during
511 human accelerated sprinting. *Biology Open*, 3(8), 689–699.
512 <http://doi.org/10.1242/bio.20148284>
- 513 Neptune, R. R., Wright, I. C., & van den Bogert, A. J. (1999). Muscle coordination and function
514 during cutting movements. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 31(2), 294–302.
- 515 Newman, M. A., Tarpinning, K. M., & Marino, F. E. (2004). Relationships between isokinetic knee
516 strength, single-sprint performance, and repeated-sprint ability in football players. *Journal of*
517 *Strength and Conditioning Research / National Strength & Conditioning Association*, 18(4),
518 867–72. <http://doi.org/10.1519/13843.1>
- 519 Ostenberg, A., Roos, E., Ekdahl, C., & Roos, H. (1998). Isokinetic knee extensor strength and
520 functional performance in healthy female soccer players. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine &*
521 *Science in Sports*, 8(5 Pt 1), 257–64.
- 522 Rabita, G., Dorel, S., Slawinski, J., Sàez-de-Villarreal, E., Couturier, A., Samozino, P., & Morin, J.-
523 B. (2015). Sprint mechanics in world-class athletes: a new insight into the limits of human
524 locomotion. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*, 25(5), 583–594.
525 <http://doi.org/10.1111/sms.12389>
- 526 Rahnama, N., Reilly, T., Lees, A., & Graham-Smith, P. (2003). Muscle fatigue induced by exercise
527 simulating the work rate of competitive soccer. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 21(11), 933–42.

- 528 <http://doi.org/10.1080/0264041031000140428>
- 529 Rand, M. K., & Ohtsuki, T. (2000). EMG analysis of lower limb muscles in humans during quick
530 change in running directions. *Gait & Posture*, *12*(2), 169–183. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S0966-](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0966-6362(00)00073-4)
531 [6362\(00\)00073-4](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0966-6362(00)00073-4)
- 532 Rouissi, M., Chtara, M., Owen, A., Chaalali, A., Chaouachi, A., Gabbett, T., & Chamari, K. (2016).
533 Effect of leg dominance on change of direction ability amongst young elite soccer players.
534 *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *34*(6), 542–548. <http://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2015.1129432>
- 535 Silva, J. R., Nassis, G. P., & Rebelo, A. (2015). Strength training in soccer with a specific focus on
536 highly trained players. *Sports Medicine - Open*, *1*(17), 1–27. [http://doi.org/10.1186/s40798-](http://doi.org/10.1186/s40798-015-0006-z)
537 [015-0006-z](http://doi.org/10.1186/s40798-015-0006-z)
- 538 Wisløff, U., Castagna, C., Helgerud, J., Jones, R., & Hoff, J. (2004). Strong correlation of maximal
539 squat strength with sprint performance and vertical jump height in elite soccer players. *British*
540 *Journal of Sports Medicine*, *38*(3), 285–8.
- 541 Yoshioka, S., Nagano, A., Hay, D. C., & Fukashiro, S. (2010). The effect of bilateral asymmetry of
542 muscle strength on jumping height of the countermovement jump: A computer simulation
543 study. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, *28*(2), 209–218. <http://doi.org/10.1080/02640410903428566>
- 544 Yoshioka, S., Nagano, A., Hay, D. C., & Fukashiro, S. (2011). The effect of bilateral asymmetry of
545 muscle strength on the height of a squat jump: A computer simulation study. *Journal of Sports*
546 *Sciences*, *29*(8), 867–877. <http://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2011.568512>

547

548 **Figure captions**

549 **Figure 1:** a schematic representation of both squat jump and counter-movement jump is
550 given.

551